FORM PTO-1390 (REV 5-93)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. P100725-00017
	TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371	DATE: October 19, 2000
		U.S. APPLN. NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR 1.5) 09/673235

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/JP99/04539	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE August 23, 1999	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED February 19, 1999

TITLE OF INVENTION: SPRING WITH EXCELLENT FATIGUE ENDURANCE PROPERTY AND SURFACE TREATMENT METHOD FOR PRODUCING THE SPRING

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US: Masaaki ISHIDA; Kazuhiro UZUMAKI; Yuji ISONO; Keiichiro TERATOKO; Yoshiro YAMADA; Hiroshi SUZUKI; Hironobu SASADA

1. XX This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
(THE BASIC FILING FEE IS ATTACHED)

2. __ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.

3. XX This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT articles 22 and 39(1).

4. __ A proper demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.

5. XX A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
a. __ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
b. XX has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
c. __ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US)

6. XX A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).

7. __ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))
a. __ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau.
b. __ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
c. __ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
d. __ have not been made and will not be made.

8. __ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).

9. __ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).

10. __ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)). (Amendment Under Article 34)

Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included:

11. __ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

12. __ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.

13. __ A FIRST preliminary amendment.
__ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.

14. __ A substitute specification.

15. __ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.

16. XX Other items or information:
Check No. 303153
Drawing(s) 6 sheets
International Search Report
Japanese Language Request Form
Notification of Receipt of Record Copy (PCT/IB/301)
Notification Concerning Submission of Priority Documents (PCT/IB/304)
Front Page of International Bulletin No. WO00/49186

U.S. APPLN. NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 C.F.R. 1.50) **09/673235**	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/JP99/04539	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. P100725-00017
		DATE: October 19, 2000

17. <u>XX</u> The following fees are submitted:
Basic National Fee (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5):
Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO..............................$860.00
International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482)....$690.00
No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR1.482) but
international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2))......................$760.00
Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) or international
search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO......................................$1,000.00
International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all
claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) ....$ 96.00

		CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =		$ 860.00	
Surcharge of $130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than _ 20 _ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).			

Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate		
Total Claims	16 - 20 =	0	X $ 18.00		
Independent Claims	7 - 3 =	4	X $ 80.00	320.00	
Multiple dependent claim(s) (if applicable)			+ $270.00	270.00	

TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =		$ 1450.00	
Reduction by 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity statement must also be filed. (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).			
SUBTOTAL =		$ 1450.00	
Processing fee of $130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than _ 20 _ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)). +			
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =		$ 1450.00	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). $40.00 per property +			
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =		$ 1450.00	
		Amount to be refunded	$
		Charged	$

a. <u>XX</u> A check in the amount of $ 1450.00   to cover the above fees is enclosed.
b. ___ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 01-2300 in the amount of $_____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
c. <u>XX</u> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 01-2300 .

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn, PLLC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339
Telephone No. (202) 857-6000
Facsimile No. (202) 638-4810

George E. Oram, Jr.
Reg. No. 27,931

DESCRIPTION

SPRING WITH EXCELLENT FATIGUE ENDURANCE PROPERTY AND SURFACE
TREATMENT METHOD FOR PRODUCING THE SPRING

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to a surface treatment
method for enhancing the performance of valve springs for
internal combustion engines, clutch springs for
transmissions of automobiles and the like, high-strength
thin-sheet springs, and the like by projection of hard fine
metal particles, and a high-performance spring produced by
this surface treatment method.

BACKGROUND ART

The following are found to be prior art related to
the present invention.
1. Japanese Patent Publication for Opposition No. 2-17607
"Surface thermo-mechanical heat treatment method for metal
product"

This technique relates to a surface thermo-mechanical
heat treatment method in which 40 to 200 μm shots having a
hardness equal to or higher than that of a product to be
treated are injected at a velocity of 100 m/sec or more so
as to raise the temperature near the surface of the product
up to an A3 transformation point or higher.

1

This method is a process in which a material to be processed is austenitized by heating the surface layer of the work due to collision and promptly cooled down so as to cause metallographic transformation of the work. This is different from the present invention in the technical principles and contents.

2. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 9-279229, "Surface treatment method of steel work"

The disclosed technique mainly includes allowing a number of hard metal particles having a diameter of 20 to 100 μm to collide against the surface of a steel work at a velocity of 80 m/sec or more while controlling the temperature rise limit of the work surface to be equal to or higher than 150°C but lower than a recovery/recrystallization temperature.

This disclosure does not mention nitriding, and hardly defines the properties of the metal particles, e.g. the specific gravity and hardness thereof. Although the collision velocity is defined as 80 m/sec or more, where the optimal velocity exists is unclear. Only the velocity of 180 m/sec is presented in an example and recognized to be effective. However, whether or not this velocity is optimal is unclear.

3. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 10-118930, "Shot peening method of spring and spring product"

A 0.64% C-Si-Mn-Cr-Mo-V steel spring is nitrided, shot-peened with 0.5 to 1.0 mm dia. shots, and then peened with particles having a specific gravity of 12 to 16, a diameter of 0.05 to 0.2 mm, and a hardness of 1200 to 1600. As the result, a surface residual stress of $\sigma R = -1950$ Mpa and a fatigue limit of 700 ± 620 MPa at 5×10^7 times of repetition were obtained. This fatigue limit stress does not reach the level of the fatigue strength specified in claim 8 of the present invention.

The objects and method of this disclosure are partly similar to those of the present invention, but different in that while this disclosure uses manufacturer-specific high-hardness and expensive cemented carbide particles having a size of 0.05 to 0.2 mm and a specific gravity of 12 to 16, the present invention uses more inexpensive and more available metal particles such as iron-based particles having a diameter of 0.01 to 0.08 mm. The resultant fatigue strength obtained in the present invention is superior to that obtained by this conventional method.

4. Japanese Patent Gazette No. 2613601 (Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 1-83644), "High-strength spring"

This disclosure describes a spring containing 0.6 to 0.7% of C, 1.2 to 1.6% of Si, 0.5 to 0.8% of Mn, 0.5 to 0.8% of Cr, a total of 0.05 to 0.2% of one, two or more types selected from V, Mo, Nb, and Ta, and iron and impurities as the remainder, having nonmetallic inclusions with a maximum

3

size of 15 μm, a surface roughness Rmax of 15 μm or less, and a maximum compression residual stress near the surface of 85 to 110 kgf/mm^2 (833 to 1079 MPa). This patent describes that, if the maximum compression residual stress near the surface exceeds 110 kgf/mm^2 (=1079 MPa), the production of the spring becomes difficult and the surface roughness of the spring degrades, unintentionally decreasing the fatigue strength. One of the inventors of this patent together with other researchers report in detail the performance of a spring produced according to the technique disclosed in this patent in the ESF (European Spring Federation) sponsored spring technology international conference held in Düsseldorf, Germany on April 3, 1990 after the filing of the application of this patent. According to the related article, titled "A High Strength Spring for Automotive Engine" by M. Abe, K. Saito, N. Takamura, and H. Yamamoto, the maximum compression residual stress of a spring which corresponds to the invention of the patent (Gazette No. 2613601) is about 950 MPa in the surface layer and about 820 MPa on the outermost surface as is seen from Figure 9 of this article, and the surface roughness Rmax of the spring is 10.6 μm as is seen from Table 2 of this article. The fatigue limit of the spring is τm = 588 MPa and τa = ±(450 to 480) MPa or so at 5×10^7 times of repetition as is seen from Figure 11 of this article, which

4

does not correspond to claims 9 and 10 of the present invention.

According to the present invention, the surface roughness will not increase even if the maximum compression residual stress in the surface layer exceeds 1079 MPa. Moreover, the residual stress is maximum on the outermost surface or closely near the surface, effectively preventing fatigue breaking from the surface. Accordingly, it is possible to obtain a spring satisfying the fatigue limit represented by equation or expression (2) in claim 10 of the present invention without nitriding.

5. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 5-339763, "Production method of coil spring"

This disclosure describes that a spring product having a surface roughness Rmax of 5 μm or less and a fatigue strength of 60 ± 57 kgf/mm^2 (588 ± 559 MPa) at 5 × 10^7 times of stress repetition was obtained by descaling so as to suppress the surface roughness to a low level by shot peening, nitriding, and the following shot peening with 0.8 mm dia. cut wires. However, according to the data provided in an example of this disclosure obtained in the above manner, the fatigue strength does not satisfy expression (1) in claim 8 of the present invention. Moreover, this document does not disclose projection of fine particles as disclosed in the present invention.

5

6. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 7-214216,

"Production method of high strength spring"

This disclosure describes that a steel wire spring
may be electropolished, nitrided, and then subjected to two-
stage shot peening using first particles having hardness of
Hv 600 to 800 and diameter of 0.6 to 1.0 mm as first-stage
shots and then particles having hardness of Hv 700 to 900
and diameter of about 0.05 to 0.2 mm as second-stage shots.
However, no further analysis, examination nor consideration
on the particle size of 0.05 to 0.2 mm are made. This
disclosure reports that, in an example which uses steel
balls having a grain diameter of 0.15 mm and a hardness of
Hv 800 as the second-stage shots, the fatigue limit of the
spring is 637 MPa as a mean stress and ±560 MPa as an
amplitude stress at 5×10^7 times of repetition. This does
not satisfy expression (1) representing the spring fatigue
limit in claim 8. Moreover, the definition of the second-
stage particle projection conditions is different from that
of the present invention.

7. Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 5-177544,

"Production method of coil spring"

This disclosure relates to a method including
nitriding after spring formation and then shot peening the
spring. In the shot peening, first-stage shot peening, low-
temperature annealing, and second-stage shot peening using
shots smaller than those used in the first-stage shot

6

peening are sequentially performed. According to the
detailed description of this disclosure, it is preferable,
in consideration of the residual stress, to use shots having
a size of about 0.05 to 0.20 mm and a hardness of Hv 700 to
900 in the second-stage shot peening and project these
shorts under a high pressure. However, no further analysis
and description are made on the difference in the effect of
particle projection between 0.05 mm dia. particles and 0.1
mm dia. or 0.2 mm dia. particles, and the like. In an
embodiment of this disclosure, second-stage shot peening is
performed using steel balls having a diameter of 0.1 mm and
a hardness of Hv 800 under a projection pressure of 5
kgf/cm^2. According to the disclosure, the resultant fatigue
limit is $\tau m = 686$ MPa as a mean stress and $\tau A = \pm 567$ MPa as
an amplitude stress at 5×10^7 times of repetition. The
compression residual stress in a near surface layer does not
reach 1400 MPa as seen from Figure 3. Both values therefore
do not satisfy claim 8 of the present invention.

DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

    We have pointed out the problems for the respective
conventional techniques in the BACKGROUND ART. In the
conventional techniques, as shot projection methods for a
surface-nitrided spring having a comparatively high surface
hardness, projection of cemented carbide particles having
diameters from 50 μm to 200 μm has been disclosed (Prior Art

7

Technique 3), and projection of particles having diameters from 20 to 100 μm has been mentioned for improvement of the fatigue property of a steel work and the particle diameter has been limited although roughly (Prior Art Technique 2; similarly, Prior Art Techniques 6 and 7). However, the relationship between a truly effective and appropriate projection method and the performance of the spring which has been subjected to the projection method has been rather unclear.

In Prior Art Technique 3, since the cemented carbide particles used are expensive, the projection particles are presumed to be economically disadvantageous. Moreover, since the fatigue strength of a spring in an embodiment of this disclosure is lower compared with that of the present invention, this technique is not considered to have sufficiently explicated and solved the technical problems.

Hitherto, it has been strongly requested to reduce the size and weight of various kinds of springs for automobiles such as valve springs for internal combustion engines. In view of this request, the object of the present invention is to provide a spring processing method which can further increase the fatigue strength of the springs and thereby realize improvement in the traveling performance of automobiles, improvement in fuel consumption by reducing the size and weight of the automobiles, and the like, and a spring produced by such a method. Technical problems to be

8

overcome to realize a spring with such an excellent
performance are to prevent a microcrack from generating and
growing from a surface layer of the spring under repeated
high stress and to prevent a microcrack from growing from a
nonmetallic inclusion existing right under the surface layer
of the spring. Claims 1 and 2 (with a nitriding step),
claim 4 (without a nitriding step), and claim 6
(with/without a nitriding step) are directed to technologies
challenging these technical problems, and claims 8 to 12 are
directed to high performance springs produced by these
technologies. These claims provide solutions for the above
two problems in comparatively economical manners. Claim 3
is directed to a method for improving the fatigue strength
of a comparatively thin sheet or fine wire spring. Claim 13
is directed to a spring produced by the method of Claim 3.
The techniques of Claims 5 and 6 have a greater effect
especially in preventing fatigue breaking from the surface
layer compared with the technologies of claims 1 to 4
described above.

        The projection velocity as used herein refers to a
velocity immediately before the collision of projected
particles against the surface of a spring. As the particle
projection method, the present invention adopts impeller
method and honing method using gas such as air as a carrier.
Also, so-called stress peening in which particles are
projected against a spring by loading an external stress

statically or under a constant strain state may be adopted
without losing the effect of projection of particles such as
fine particles. Rather, this further improves the
compression residual stress in the surface layer providing
an effect of preventing fatigue breaking. Therefore, such a
method of projecting particles under stress loading is also
included in the present invention. It should be noted,
however, that the stress peening requires a special
exclusive jig or device resulting in cost increase. Claims
8 to 12 of the present invention are related to springs
subjected to particle projection without stress or strain
being applied, and springs with high fatigue strength are
obtainable without depending on the stress peening.

A spring may be pre-heated to a temperature of about
100 to 250°C before being subjected to the microparticle
projection according to the present invention. The effect
of the present invention is not lost by this method, which
is therefore included in the present invention. Also
included in the present invention are performing strain age
hardening or low-temperature annealing at a temperature of
150 to 250°C between the particle projection recited in the
claims of the present invention and the subsequent
projection of finer particles and after the final particle
projection step, and performing warm/cold setting after the
particle projection.

10

As the stress received by a spring becomes greater, a greater stress is applied on the surface layer of the spring, causing a microcrack when the surface layer is no more durable against repetition of the stress. In order to prevent such a microcrack, it is necessary to put the residual stress in the surface layer in a compressed state and increasing the absolute value of the compressive residual stress as much as possible. It is not possible to provide a compression residual stress exceeding the elastic limit of the material. In order to overcome this, according to the present invention, the elastic limit is simultaneously improved by work hardening of the spring surface layer by fine particle projection, so as to increase the compression residual stress to a high level. At the same time, the yield point and hardness of the spring surface layer are made as high as possible without losing the ductility and toughness of the spring surface layer, so as to prevent sliding deformation due to repeated stress and thus prevent generation and growth of a microcrack in the surface layer. Further, a micro-recess and crack generated in the surface layer may be a source of fatigue cracking. It is therefore necessary to provide precaution and projection conditions which ensure that such a surface defect will not be created in the surface layer during particle projection. In order to satisfy such requirements, according to the present invention, fine metal particles

11

having optimal shape and properties including the diameter between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, more preferably in the range of 10 to 80 μm, are projected under optimal velocity condition. In particular, it has been found that as the projection velocity and the projection density in the spring surface layer are increased even when the particle projected spring surface temperature does not exceed the A3 transformation point, the spring surface layer produces microcracks or decreases ductility and toughness due to severe deformation even when recovery/recrystallization is not generated, decreasing the fatigue strength to a lower level than that observed when the projection velocity is lower. Thus, a spring having excellent properties can be obtained by projecting microparticles at a temperature which is low enough to prevent generation of such a microcrack in the spring surface layer, lower than the A3 transformation point, and lower than the temperature at which iron matrix causes recovery/recrystallization, so that work hardening or strain age hardening is generated to a sufficient extent but reduction in ductility and toughness and microcracking are not generated. For a spring having a wire diameter of about 2 mm or more or a sheet thickness of about 1.5 to 2 mm or more, it is necessary to first provide the residual stress deep inside the spring by projecting iron-base particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm against the nitrided or

12

non-nitrided spring before performing the fine particle
projection described above. This step of projecting
particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm may include
first projecting particles having a diameter of 0.5 to 0.9
mm and subsequently projecting particles having a diameter
of 0.2 to 0.4 mm (Claims 5 and 6).

     Roughly three methods as follows are employed for
prevention of fatigue breaking due to existence of
nonmetallic inclusions under a spring surface layer. One of
the methods is reducing the size of non-ductile nonmetallic
inclusions found in a spring material. The minimum size
(critical size) of a harmful inclusion is smaller as the
hardness of the spring is higher. It is about 20 to 15 μm
when the hardness of an iron matrix surrounding the
inclusion is about Hv 520 to 580. It is about 10 μm when
the hardness is about Hv 580 to 630. Therefore, if the size
of nonmetallic inclusions existing in the spring material
is equal to or more than the critical size, the internal
hardness of the spring material must be regulated according
to the maximum size of the inclusion. The second method is
putting the residual stress of the portion surrounding a
harmful nonmetallic inclusion in a compressed state to
prevent growth of a microcrack around the inclusion. In
order to achieve this, conventionally, round cut wires
having a comparatively large diameter of 0.5 to 0.90 mm or
up to 1.00 mm are projected at a velocity of 40 to 90 m/sec

to provide the compression residual stress down to a depth of 0.2 to 0.5 mm from the surface of the spring. When the wire diameter or sheet thickness of the spring is between 1.5 to 2.0 mm and 2.5 mm, it is necessary to project round cut wires having diameter of 0.2 to 0.4 mm at a velocity of 40 to 90 m/sec so that the compressive residual stress is provided down to a depth of about 0.06 to 0.13 mm and prevent breaking of the spring from inclusions. In these cases, if the projection velocity is excessively high, non-uniform deformed areas may be locally produced in the surface layer of the spring material, generating micro-recesses and cracks in the surface layer, which tend to cause fatigue breaking from the spring surface layer. It is therefore required to effect projection as described above so as not to cause such a defect. In order to prevent generation of such a microcrack and the like, the maximum projection velocity must be determined for each specific spring with 90 m/sec being the upper limit. If the projection velocity is lower than 40 m/sec., the residual stress providing effect is reduced failing to provide the residual stress sufficiently deep. The minimum projection velocity was therefore set at 40 m/sec.

The third method is decreasing the hardness of the spring material in which inclusions exists. Decreasing the hardness excessively, however, increases the creep which is one of critical properties of the spring, spoiling the

14

performance of the spring. Therefore, this method must be avoided from being adopted thoughtlessly. In view of this point, in claims 8 to 10, the hardness at a depth of 0.2 to 0.5 mm is set to be at least Hv 520 or more. Normally, fatigue breaking originating from an inclusion occurs at a depth of 0.2 to 0.5 mm from the surface of a spring, and the hardness of the iron matrix in this depth region and the fatigue breaking have a close relationship. By controlling the mean size of each inclusion including harmful carbide, nitride, boride, and the like which appears on fracture surface to be less than 20 μm or not more than about 15 μm, at steel mill and in wire mill where the size of carbide and the like may be controlled by heat treatment and the like, when the hardness is Hv 520 to 580 in this depth region, fatigue breaking due to existence of an inclusion and the like can be prevented. In claim 8 of the present invention, the hardness at a depth of 0.2 to 0.5 mm is limited to Hv 630 or less, since in claim 9 of the present invention, fatigue breaking due to an inclusion and the like can be prevented when the hardness at a depth of 0.2 to 0.5 mm is Hv 630 or less if the mean size of an inclusion appearing at a spring fracture surface can be controlled to be 10 μm or less. The limitation of an inclusion in claim 9 for a high strength spring non-nitrided has substantially the same purpose as above, where the maximum size of the inclusion is limited depending on the hardness. In claim 12, the

15

relationship between the maximum size of an inclusion in a silicon chrome steel spring non-nitrided and the hardness thereof is limited. In this case, since the hardness at a depth of 0.2 to 0.5 mm is Hv 520 to 600, the size of the inclusion is required to be about 15 μm or less.

The state of an inclusion in a spring material is different among the types or kinds of the spring material. That is, in general, as the addition amount of an alloy of Si, Cr, Mo, V, Nb, W, Al, and the like increases, the level of a non-ductile nonmetallic inclusion in the spring steel material may be deteriorated. In the case of piano wires, it is possible in many cases to substantially eliminate inclusions having a size of 10 μm or more by the existing technique. In the case of alloy steel oil tempered wires for valve springs, there exist harmful inclusions such as Al_2O_3 (alumina), $MgO \cdot Al_2O_3$ (spinel), SiO_2 (silica), and the like. These hard non-ductile oxide-based inclusions can be made harmless by controlling the morphology to change to ductile inclusions during steelmaking. In the case of steel materials for springs including a comparatively large amount of elements such as V, Nb and Ti, the following measures must be taken. Since a carbide, a nitride, or a carbonitride such as VC, NbC, TiC and TiN keep their spherical or angular shapes, such inclusions must be made harmless or production of such inclusions must be avoided by measures such as examining the heating conditions at rolling and annealing,

16

and preventing the entry of Ti and the like from raw
materials at the steelmaking stage. In order to prevent
fatigue breaking of a spring due to existence of a harmful
inclusion, it is desirable to reduce the content of V, Nb,
Ti, and the like in a steel material for the spring to the
minimum. In the steel type (1) in claim 8, 0.03 to 0.60% of
V and/or 0.02 to 0.20% of Nb are added to be effective in
reducing the size of crystal grains, improving the ductility
and toughness of the spring and promoting nitriding. Ni
added to the steel type (1) has an effect of improving the
ductility and toughness of spring steel and is also
considered to be effective in preventing fatigue breaking
and fatigue crack spreading in a high strength spring.
However, if the added Ni exceeds 0.5%, generation of
residual austenite will be facilitated during processing of
wire rods and wires, resulting in unintentionally reducing
the ductility and toughness of the spring steel during the
production. The upper limit is therefore set at 0.5%.
Addition of Co to the steel type (1) reduces the
transformation time during cooling from a high temperature
such as during pearlite transformation, providing an effect
of, for example, causing metallographic change to fine
pearlite having good cold processability during production
of wires. This facilitates the production of wires. If the
addition of Co exceeds 3.0%, the effect reduces in
comparison with the cost since Co is an economically

17

expensive element. Therefore, the upper limit is set at
3.0%.

The addition of Mo, Cr, and Al to the steel type (1)
or (2) in claim 8 facilitates the entering of nitrogen
during nitriding. For any of these elements, if the
addition amount of the element is excessively large, a
nitride compound is deposited near the surface of the spring,
which prevents nitrogen to diffuse and permeate toward the
depth of the spring, resulting in reducing the effect of
improving the fatigue resistance of the spring. According
to the present invention, in view of this point, the upper
limit of the addition amounts of Mo, Cr, and Al were set at
0.6%, 1.8%, and 0.5% by mass, respectively. W enhances heat
resistance and is effective in preventing decarburization of
the spring. However, if the addition amount of W to the
steel type (1) or (2) exceeds 0.5%, quenching ability
becomes excessive and thus a larger number of times of
annealing is required, increasing the complexity and cost of
production. Therefore, the upper limit is set at 0.5%. In
the steel type (1), C is required to improve the strength of
the steel and fatigue strength. Since this effect decreases
if the content is less than 0.5%, the lower limit is set at
0.5%. If the content of C exceeds 0.8%, the effect of
improving the strength decreases and brittleness is
exhibited. Therefore, the upper limit is set at 0.8%. If a
decarburization layer is formed in the surface layer, the

hardness is compensated by nitriding as far as the degree of the decarbonization is not extremely large. Accordingly, the method according to the present invention is also applicable to such decarbonized materials. Si provides good effects on the strength and creep resistance of the spring. For a spring which is strengthened by quenching and tempering, the effects are weak if the content of Si is less than 1.2%, but if it exceeds 2.5%, processability tends to be lowered due to promotion of decarburization and reduction in ductility and toughness during production. Therefore, the lower and upper limits are set at 1.2% and 2.5%, respectively, for the steel type (1).

Maraging steel having the component shown in (4) in claim 8 also has the effect of improving the fatigue strength. Accordingly, claim 8 includes this steel as the steel type (4).

Maraging steel becomes comparatively soft martensite by alloy element solution treatment and austenitization (solution treatment) under a high temperature of about 800 to 900°C and the following cooling. The resultant martensite structure material is subjected to cold wiredrawing and work hardened. This wire is formed to springs. Thereafter, aging at a temperature near 500°C is performed to obtain the strength and spring nature. Nitriding is then performed to increase the fatigue strength in a manner recited in claim 1 or 2. Alternatively, a

19

spring having an excellent fatigue strength can be obtained without nitriding in a manner recited in claim 3 or 4. Maraging steel springs have superior creep resistance to low alloy steel wire springs, and thus exhibits its performance with a tensile strength after aging of 1900 MPa or more (claim 9). This is therefore especially suitable for applications requiring creep resistance and fatigue resistance. The solution treatment is a heat treatment employed for high-alloy steel such as stainless steel and high manganese steel, in which carbide precipitates and the like are dissolved in the structure of the steel during high temperature heating and then rapidly cooled to room temperature without allowing re-precipitation of them.

The present invention includes: (1) a spring subjected to nitriding during the processing (including low-temperature carbonitriding aiming mainly at addition of nitrogen) (claim 8) and a production method thereof (claims 1, 2, 5, and 7); and (2) a spring not subjected to nitriding or low-temperature carbonitriding (claims 9 to 13) and a production method thereof (claims 3, 4, 6, and 7).

As for the spring (1) to be nitrided, pickling, electropolishing, shot peening, and the like are conventionally known as a descaling treatment performed before nitriding. Pickling is not suitable for the present invention since it has problems such as generation of a microcrack due to hydrogen brittleness on the spring surface.

20

Electropolishing has problems such that large-scale equipment is necessary when applied to mass production. Therefore, the present invention adopts shot peening (particle projection) for descaling before nitriding. In this treatment, the projection velocity, the diameter of particles projected, and the like must be adjusted so as not to generate a harmful surface microcrack and a local shear deformation band. Such a surface defect due to the particle projection before nitriding is left after the nitriding. When particle projection is performed for descaling before nitriding, comparatively large particles having a diameter of 0.3 to 0.8 mm made of steel and the like may be projected at a velocity in the range of 40 to 90 m/sec so as not to damage the surface layer of the spring. When the spring is stressed, neighboring wire rings are likely to be in contact with each other especially at ends of the spring. It has been found that, in order to descale such inter-wire contact portions sufficiently to promote entrance of nitrogen during nitriding and thus prevent fatigue breaking from the end portions of the spring, it is effective to project first particles having a diameter of 0.3 to 0.8 mm as described above and then fine particles having a diameter in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, more desirably 10 to 80 μm. It has also been found that the projection velocity is 50 to 160 m/sec., more preferably 60 to 140 m/sec in order to project fine particles without generating

21

a microcrack and a local deformation band harmful to fatigue
in the surface layer, and that it is effective for
prevention of a surface defect to control the temperature in
the spring surface layer during the fine particle projection
to be lower than the temperature at which
recovery/recrystallization occurs. It has been found that
when the temperature of nitriding is in the range of about
500°C to 450°C inclusive, although the surface plastic
deformation region by the fine particle projection is
comparatively shallow, nitrogen enters to a depth which is
not so different from the projection of 0.3 to 0.8 mm dia.
particles. Therefore, it is also effective to perform only
the fine particle projection omitting the projection of 0.3
to 0.8 mm dia. particles. Based on such purpose and reason,
Claim 2 limits the projection conditions.

The nitriding or the low-temperature carbonitriding
is performed at a temperature of about 500°C or less to
introduce mainly nitrogen, optionally partly carbon
additionally, to the spring surface portion. As a result of
the nitrogen (optionally, with a small amount of carbon)
entering the spring surface portion, large compression
residual stress is provided in the surface portion. The
fine particle projection according to the present invention
is recognized to be effective even on a comparatively hard
spring having a surface hardness of about Hv 800 to 1100
after nitriding. When 0.2 to 0.9 mm dia. particles are

22

projected after the nitriding, the compression residual
stress is provided to a further deeper portion compared with
the case where this post-nitriding particle projection is
not performed. This is effective in preventing fatigue
breaking from a nonmetallic inclusion or a microcrack at a
depth from the surface to 0.5 mm deep.

After the projection of comparatively large iron-
based particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm as
described above, fine metal particles according to the
present invention is projected under optimal conditions. By
this processing, fatigue breaking both from the surface
layer and from an internal nonmetallic inclusion can be
prevented even under repeated high stress loading.

The post-nitriding particle projection is performed
as follows. First, hard metal particles made of steel and
the like having a diameter of 200 to 900 μm and having
hardness which is Hv 500 to 800 and softer than the hardness
of the outermost surface layer of the treated spring (the
micro-Vickers hardness at a depth of about 5 μm from the
outermost surface), are projected at a velocity of 40 to 90
m/sec, so as to provide the compression residual stress down
to a comparatively deep portion inside the spring while
preventing generation of a harmful microcrack in the surface
layer (claims 1 and 2). Alternatively, particles having a
diameter of 0.5 to 0.9 mm and a hardness of Hv 500 to 800
and then particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.4 mm and a

hardness of Hv 500 to 800 are projected, so as to provide a
high compression residual stress inside the spring including
a portion comparatively near the surface layer while
preventing generation of a harmful microcrack and the like
in the surface layer (claim 7).

Subsequently, metal particles having hardness, Hv 600
to 1100 which is equal to or less than the hardness of the
outermost surface layer of the nitided spring before the
above particle projection, a spherical or comparatively near
spherical shape, a mean diameter of all projection particles
of 80 μm or less and a mean diameter of each particle
between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, more desirably
a mean diameter of all projection particles of 65 μm or less
and a mean diameter of each particle of 10 to 80 μm, and a
specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, are projected at a velocity
of 50 to 190 m/sec., more preferably 60 to 140 m/sec.
(hereafter, such a technique of projecting hard metal
microparticles is referred to as "SS treatment").

Figure 1 is the experimental results showing the
influence of the collision velocity of projecting fine
particles on the compression residual stress near the
surface of a spring which had been bombarded by high-carbon
steel particles having a diameter of 0.6 mm (hardness: Hv
550) at a velocity of 70 m/sec as the first step shot-
peening. The spring contained C: 0.60%, Si: 1.45%, Mn: 0.68%,
Ni:0.28%, Cr:0.85%, and V:0.07% (unit: mass percent) and had

24

a surface hardness of Hv 930 after nitriding and the first
shot-peening. It is observed from this figure that the
collision velocity of around 95 m/sec is optimal at which
the compression residual stress is as high as 1900 (N/mm^2)
or more both in the outermost surface layer and at a depth
of 10 μm from the surface. In this experiment, the nominal
diameter of the projected particles was 50 μm, the mean
diameter of all particles was about 63 μm for initial (new)
products measured for n = 60, the mean diameter of the
largest particle was 80 μm or less, and the mean diameter of
the smallest particle was 50 μm. The shape of the particles
was a sphere or an ellipsoid close to a sphere in which a
majority of the particles have a ratio of maximum to minimum
diameters of 1.1 or less with an extremely small part
thereof having the ratio of 1.5 or more but having no square
sharp edges. The mean hardness was Hv 860 and the specific
gravity was 8.2. The projection was performed while
controlling the temperature so that the instantaneous
temperature rise limit of the iron matrix of the surface
nitride layer of the spring (excluding a nitrogen compound
portion) generated by the collision is low enough to allow
work hardening to occur effectively under interaction with
nitrogen atoms and is lower than a temperature at which
softening occurs due to recovery/recrystallization of the
spring surface layer. Confirmation that such temperature
control is under way is performed by a technique such as

micro-Vickers hardness measurement, high magnification observation using an electron microscope for the surface of a sample work after the shot peening etc.

As observed from the experimental results in Figure 1, the maximum compression residual stress value near the surface layer (from the outermost surface layer to a depth of 10 μm from the surface) exceeds 1800 MPa in the range of velocity v of 90 to 152 m/sec., exhibiting a good distribution. In particular, at v = 90 m/sec., the compression residual stress on the outermost surface is about 2000 MPa and the distribution is good, indicating that the effect of improving fatigue strength is great. In other words, in the projection of high-speed steel particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 63 μm at v ≤ 152 m/sec., there are hardly generated defects which may possibly deteriorate the fatigue life, such as a local adiabatic shear band near the work surface and a crack in a nitride compound layer. However, when the velocity exceeds 170 to 190 m/sec in the same particle projection, a microcrack and a strong deformation band appear near the surface, and also the residual stress becomes lower than the case of a lower velocity. In view of the above, according to the present invention, the upper limit of the fine particle projection velocity is set at 190 m/sec. If the micorparticle projection velocity is more than 190 m/sec, a microcrack is generated on the nitride surface, or the

26

effect of improving fatigue resistance decreases because the
surface layer becomes brittle by processing. The size of
the fine particles influences the fatigue strength of the
spring in such a manner that when square sharp particles
exist among the projected particles, the effect of improving
fatigue strength decreases, and that when large particles
having a mean diameter of 100 μm or more exist, the effect
of improving fatigue strength is lost. Further, the
following is observed: The shot velocity at the crossing of
the stress curves for the outermost surface layer and the
depth of 10 μm from the surface is 95 m/sec. In the range
of the shot velocity covering 20% with this crossing as the
center (76 to 114 m/sec.), the surface compression residual
stress is 1800 MPa or more, indicating that a large
compression residual stress can be obtained over a
comparatively thick surface layer. It should be noted that
the improvement of fatigue strength is more expected in a
lower velocity range than the range where the maximum
compression residual stress value is obtained in the surface
layer down to the depth of 10 μm from the surface. That is,
the residual stress is about 1700 MPa or more at a
projection velocity of 60 m/sec. or more, providing good
fatigue test results. Similarly, especially good results of
the fatigue property are expected at a projection velocity
of 130 to 150 m/sec with a mean velocity of 140 m/sec or
less. Accordingly, the desirable velocity range of the

present invention is set at 60 to 140 m/sec. Figure 2 shows
a residual stress distribution when fine particles having a
mean diameter of all particles of 63 μm are projected at
velocities of 90 m/sec and 190 m/sec.

Next, the same experiment as that described above was
performed using this time steel particles having a little
lower hardness, Hv 700, and a nominal mean diameter of all
particles of 50 μm, a real mean diameter of all particles of
40 μm, and a maximum diameter of about 75 μm. As a result,
when the velocity was 190 m/sec., generation of a microcrack
in the compound layer and local flaking thereof were
observed as in the case of the projection of high-speed
steel particles. When the velocity was 60 to 140 m/sec.,
the maximum compression residual stress near the surface
layer exceeded 1700 MPa although this was slightly lower
than in the case of the projection of high-speed steel
particles, indicating that a large effect is expected for
improvement of durability. In this experiment, the surface
hardness of a nitrided sample spring was about Hv 930. The
surface hardness of the spring after the fine particle
projection increased only slightly to about Hv 950. It was
however confirmed that a large compression residual stress
was formed on the work surface layer by projecting particles
having hardness equal to or less than that of the work
outermost surface layer. Figure 3 is a view obtained by
projecting particles having different sizes against a spring

28

of the same type as that used in the experiment shown in
Figure 1 in which 0.6 mm dia. high-carbon steel particles
were projected against an oil tempered wire for high-
strength valve springs after nitriding. The X axis of this
figure represents the initial nominal diameter of projected
particles (the nominal diameter indicated on a bag of new
particle), and the Y axis thereof represents the surface
compression residual stress. The material of the projected
particles for all the sizes is high-speed steel having a
specific gravity of 8.2. The initial mean hardness of the
particles is Hv 860 for the nominal diameter of 50 μm (the
initial mean diameter of all particles is about 63 μm as
actually measured), and becomes lower as the nominal
diameter is greater. When the nominal diameter is 200 μm,
the hardness is Hv 770. The numbers in the figure represent
the collision velocities (unit: m/sec.) of particles against
the spring surface. It is evident from this figure that the
effect of providing a compression residual stress on the
surface greatly decreases in the projection of particles
having a nominal diameter of 100 μm compared with the case
of the nominal diameter of 50 μm. The mean diameter of the
largest particle among new particles having a nominal
diameter of 100 μm was 125 μm. Similarly, the mean diameter
of the largest particle among new particles having a nominal
diameter of 50 μm was 80 μm (both are measurement results of
n = 60). All particles were free from sharp edges, and the

shape thereof was mostly a sphere and partly an ellipsoid comparatively close to a sphere.

Fine particles having sharp edges are not desirable since they tend to deteriorate fatigue characteristics. Also, if the variation in the diameter of fine particles having a mean diameter of 44 μm is large and particles having a diameter in the range of 90 to 105 μm inclusive are mixed in the rate of at least several percents, the effect of improving fatigue strength decreases compared with the case of particles having a mean diameter of 44 μm and a maximum diameter of about 75 μm. Thus, while the effect of improving fatigue strength of a spring is influenced by the mean diameter of all projected particles, the fatigue strength is deteriorated by inclusion of particles having a large maximum diameter. In view of this, according to the present invention, the upper limit of the size is set at less than 100 μm, more preferably 80 μm, since inclusion of particles having a diameter greater than 80 μm actually decreases the effect of improving fatigue strength although it provides the effect. It should be noted that a projected particle having its own mean diameter smaller than the mean diameter of all particles or the nominal diameter does not hinder the projection effect if it has a spherical or near spherical shape having no square portions, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and hardness in the range of Hv 700 to 1100 inclusive. Rather, when the mean diameter of each

30

particle is smaller than 50 μm, such particles are effective
in increasing the hardness and compression residual stress
of the outermost surface layer of the spring. However, as
the particle diameter is smaller, the hardness and the
thickness where the residual stress is influential become
smaller. Accordingly, in the treatment method according to
the present invention (claims 1, 2, and 5), the mean
diameter of all particles of 20 μm or more is set as a
preferable condition. Existence of a small amount of
microparticles having a diameter of 10 μm or less is
accepted by the present invention if the properties such as
the shape and the specific gravity conform to those of
particles defined in the claims since the projection effect
will not be influenced by an inclusion of a comparatively
small amount of such particles. In general, as the nominal
diameter of projected particles is smaller, it is more
difficult to produce or use the particles without a
variation in the size. Accordingly, even if the nominal
diameter is determined, since the particle diameter has a
distribution, a good effect will not be obtained unless this
distribution is not taken into consideration in selecting
particles.

When the hardness of the nitrided surface layer of a
spring is about Hv 850 or more, part of kinetic energy
possessed by projected particles at collision is consumed
for deforming the surface layer of the spring even when the

31

particles have a hardness equal to or less than that of the spring surface layer, resulting in increasing the temperature of the surface layer although instantaneously. This is considered to promote yield and plastic deformation of the nitrided spring surface layer, thereby facilitating dislocation multiplication by interaction between interstitial nitrogen atoms in solid solution and moving dislocations and developing hardening by dislocation pinning. As the hardness of fine particles becomes lower than Hv 600, the efficiency of generating a residual stress on the spring surface layer decreases. Therefore, the lower limit of the hardness is set at Hv 600. However, since deformation of the spring surface layer and generation of a compression residual stress are possible when the hardness is Hv 500 to 600, the lower limit of the hardness may optionally be Hv 500 or more. When the hardness of the projected particles is higher than that of the nitrided spring surface, a microcrack tends to be generated from the spring surface, spoiling the fatigue strength. According to the present invention, therefore, the upper limit of the hardness of the particles is set at a value equal to or less than the hardness of the spring surface.

Hereafter, "work hardening under interaction with nitrogen atoms" will be described. An iron-based nitride compound such as an epsilon iron nitride may sometimes be formed on the surface of a nitrided spring steel material.

Further, inside the material, comparatively fine iron
nitride is formed by some part of nitrogen atoms which have
diffused and permeated in the steel, contributing to an
increase in hardness. Besides the above, interstitial
nitrogen atoms exists in the iron matrix, which contribute
to improvement of the compression residual stress. The
interstitial nitrogen atoms resist against plastic
deformation during the SS treatment. Once the work surface
layer starts plastic deformation, however, the interstitial
nitrogen atoms are influenced by heating as a dislocation is
activated kinematically, fixing at least part of the
dislocation in the course of an increase of the diffusion
velocity of nitrogen atoms in the iron and facilitating
dislocation multiplication to reduce the size of dislocation
cells (sub-grains). This is considered to contribute to
preventing generation of a sliding deformation band due to
repeated stress in the spring surface layer during use of
the spring and, as a result, preventing generation of a
microcrack causing fatigue breaking. Nitrogen has a
considerably greater solid solubility compared with carbon.
Moreover, coexistence with manganese, silicon, and the like
in the steel is considered to increase the solid solubility
to a markedly higher level compared with the case of an
iron-nitrogen two-element based steel. In view of this
aspect, as well as that described above, the nitriding and

33

the subsequent SS treatment for the spring steel are very effective for improvement of the spring properties.

According to the present invention, in consideration of the influence of the size of projected particles as described above, the initial mean diameter of all particles is 80 μm or less, the diameter of each particle is in the range of 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, the shape of the particles is spherical or near spherical having no square corners, the specific gravity is 7.0 to 9.0 mainly in consideration of a steel material which is inexpensive and easily available, and the hardness is in the range of Hv 600 to 1100 and equal to or lower than the hardness of the spring surface layer before being subjected to the particle projection. More preferably, the initial mean diameter of all particles is between 65 to 50 μm and 20 μm, and the mean diameter of each particle is 80 μm or less.

Next, the method according to the present invention directed to improving the fatigue strength of the spring (2) which is not subjected to nitriding (and low-temperature carbonitriding) will be described.

Conventionally, in order to increase the compression residual stress of the surface of a spring without nitriding or low-temperature carbonitriding, (i) shot peening is managed to be improved by use of a material having a higher strength than the conventional material, or (ii) shot peening is managed to be improved by use of the same

34

conventional material. The following methods are known for improving the shot peening indicated in (i) and (ii): A method in which a stress is previously loaded on the spring before particle projection (stress peening); a method in which particle projection is performed in two or three stages sequentially reducing the projection particle diameter; and a method in which particle projection is performed while the spring is heated to become warm. As the spring becomes strong, the elastic limit thereof improves, providing a higher residual stress. However, as described in Prior Art Technique 4, Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 64-83644, "High-strength spring" mentioned above, for example, when a compression residual stress of 1079 MPa (110 kgf/mm^2) or above is provided near the surface of a high-strength oil tempered wire, which has a tensile strength higher than that of a silicon chrome steel oil tempered wire for valve springs specified in JIS G3561 (1994) and has a chemical composition different from the JIS specification, by the conventional technique, the reliability of the spring properties decreases. This is considered because generation of a microcrack on the surface is also influential in addition to the residual stress. According to the present invention, however, the following have been found. By the method recited in claim 4, claims 4 and 6, or claim 7 with claims 4 and 6, without nitriding, a compression residual stress of about 1200 to 1600 MPa is

obtained on the outermost surface of a spring formed from a high-strength oil temper wire for valve springs, partly because the elastic limit of the spring material is increased by an increase of the strength of the spring, and also a microcrack and the like which may deteriorate fatigue strength can be prevented. The high strength of a high-strength oil temper wire should suitably be a tensile strength higher than that of the JIS silicon chrome steel oil tempered wire for valve springs which is presently applied to valve springs worldwide, for example, a tensile strength exceeding 2060 MPa for a wire diameter of 2.6 mm, a tensile strength exceeding 2010 MPa for a wire diameter of 3.2 mm, a tensile strength exceeding 1960 MPa for a wire diameter of 4.0 mm, and a tensile strength exceeding 1910 MPa for a wire diameter of 5.0 mm. A wire having a level of tensile strength increased by about 300 to 200 MPa from the above values for respective wire diameters is suitable. The reason is that an excessively high tensile strength degrades the spring formability and causes fatigue breaking due to a minute defect such as a nonmetallic inclusion, although it is advantageous in the provision of the residual stress. Claims 9 and 10 are directed to high fatigue strength springs obtainable using such a high-strength material without nitriding. It has also been found that a high residual stress and improvement of fatigue strength can be realized by the method according to the present invention

for pearlite steel reinforced by wiredrawing or rolling recited in claim 11, a general-use JIS silicon chrome steel oil tempered wire recited in claim 12, and a thin-plate spring and fine-wire spring recited in claim 13, and the like. In step (B) of the method recited in claim 4, the material of the projected fine particles is high-carbon steel, high-speed steel, or the like which is similar to that of the spring and thus has an elastic modulus equal to the spring. Accordingly, elastic deformation is distributed to occur at the spring surface and the projecting particles simultaneously. This is considered to be a factor of suppressing generation of a microcrack and excessive deformation of the surface layer which deteriorate fatigue strength, together with the fact that the particles are fine and have no square corners. The large increase in the surface compression residual stress by fine particle projection as described above is related to introduction of dislocations due to large plastic deformation and development of fixation of a large number of such introduced dislocations by carbon atoms repeated with particle collision. More specifically, the supply of carbon atoms facilitates multiplication of dislocations for the following reason. That is, carbon originally existing in the material for the spring in the form of an iron carbide is made thermodynamically unstable by very-short-term high pressure and temperature rise due to fine particle projection,

decomposing part of such carbon in a short time. Resultant free carbon atoms diffuse around the dislocations relieving the elastic stress field of the dislocations and also resisting against movement of the dislocations, thereby facilitating multiplication of the dislocations. This results in reducing the size of dislocation cell structures, and providing hardening and a high compression residual stress to the surface layer without losing the toughness and ductility. However, in the maraging steel (4) scarcely containing carbon recited in claim 8, it is considered that the increase in the dislocation density, not the decomposition of the iron carbide, mainly contributes to the increase in the compression residual stress and hardness near the surface by fine particle projection (when nitrided, decomposition of a nitride compound and reduction of mobility of dislocations due to dislocation fixation facilitate an increase of dislocation density and dislocation fixation).

Figure 4 shows the influence of the projection velocity of iron-based fine particles having a nominal diameter of 50 μm on the post-projection bending fatigue strength of a plate made of a fine pearlite consisting of C: 0.57%, Si: 1.5%, Mn: 0.7%, Cr: 0.68% (units are all mass percents), and impurities and iron for the remainder, subjected to cold wiredrawing and subsequent cold rolling, having a thickness of 0.97 mm and a mean surface hardness of

Hv 537 to 589 (for high-carbon steel particles, the initial mean hardness is Hv 865, the specific gravity is 7.5, the mean diameter of all particles is 37 μm, the mean diameter of each particle distributes in the range of 10 to 75 μm, and the shape is spherical or near spherical having no sharp edges; for high-speed steel particles, the initial mean hardness is Hv 860, the specific gravity is 8.2, the mean diameter of all particles is 63 μm, the maximum particle mean diameter is 80 μm, and the minimum particle mean diameter is 50 μm, both as actually measured for n = 60). It is observed from this figure that the optimal projection velocity exists around a collision velocity of 100 m/sec. When high-carbon steel particles are projected at collision velocities of 107 m/sec. and 183 m/sec., the compression residual stress on the outermost surface was 950 MPa for both collision velocities. In spite of this fact, the fatigue strength of the former is higher than that of the latter. This indicates that generation of a microcrack in the surface layer or the ductility and toughness of the spring surface are influential in addition to the residual stress. More specifically, generation of a microcrack and reduction in the ductility and toughness in the spring surface layer are considered to have occurred when the projection velocity is 183 m/sec. Thus, when the projection velocity is 183 m/sec, the effect of improving fatigue strength is smaller than the case of the projection velocity

of 160 m/sec or less although the effect is recognized. Accordingly, in claims 3, 4, and 6, the fine particles projection velocity is set at 160 m/sec. or less, more preferably 140 m/sec. or less. If the projection velocity is less than 50 m/sec, the effect of improving fatigue strength decreases. Therefore, the lower limit is set at this value. More preferably, the lower limit is set at 60 m/sec. Also, particles were projected against the same types of springs as the processed springs shown in Figure 4 by varying the mean diameter of all particles. As a result, as the nominal diameter of projected particles as new particles increased to 100 μm, 200 μm, and 300 μm, the fatigue strength of the springs after particle projection drastically decreased (Figure 5). The reason why the effect of improving fatigue strength decreases as the particle size increases is considered that the effect of providing the compression residual stress in the near surface layer decreases and also the degree of increase of the hardness decreases among other reasons. Accordingly, according to the present invention, the mean diameter of all particles is set at 80 μm or less and the mean diameter of each particle is set at less than 100 μm. If these values are exceeded, the effectiveness decreases although it exists.

According to the present invention, the minimum mean diameter of metal particles projected to the non-nitrided spring surface was set at 10 μm for the reason that if the

minimum mean diameter is less than this value, the depth at which the compression residual stress arrives by the projection is several micrometers or less, indicating that the depth where a sufficient compression residual stress is obtained decreases. However, particles having a diameter of 10 μm or less may be included without lowering the quality if the inclusion is a small amount. The maximum mean diameter was set at less than 100 μm for the reason that if the maximum mean diameter is this value or more, the effect of improving the residual stress and hardness of the surface layer decreases.

The maximum mean diameter of all projected particles was set at 80 μm for the reason that the effect of improving endurance is greater than the case of the mean diameter of all particles of 100 μm. The specific gravity was set at 7.0 to 9.0 for the reason that it was intended to utilize particles made of steel material which is comparatively inexpensive and easily available. Compared with the elastic modulus of a steel spring of about 196 GN/m^2, that of a spring made of cemented carbide is 450 to 650 GN/m^2. In the latter case, elastic deformation and plastic deformation are likely to concentrate on the surface layer of the target spring rather than on the projected particles. In the case of cemented carbide, therefore, the unevenness of the surface is comparatively large, and non-uniform deformation such as an adiabatic shear deformation band tends to be

easily generated. According to the present invention, the density is set at 7.0 to 9.0 intending to use iron-based particles partly for the purpose of avoiding excessive concentration of deformation on the spring to be processed.

The lower limit of the hardness of projected particles against the non-nitrided spring was set at Hv 350 because, while the surface hardness of a spring to be processed is in the range of Hv 400 to 600 in many cases, the effect of the present invention is also obtained using particles having hardness even slightly lower than the hardness of the object to be processed.

The upper limit of the hardness of projected particles was set at Hv 1100 because Hv 1100 is the upper limit of the hardness of steel particles which are comparatively inexpensive and because the effect of improving fatigue resistance is sufficiently recognizable if the hardness is Hv 1100 or less.

The lower limit of the projection velocity of hard metal particles having a diameter in the range between 10 and less than 100 μm, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and the hardness of Hv 350 to 1100 was set at 50 m/sec. because, if it is less than this value, the projection energy given to the particle projection area is short, failing to improve the endurance sufficiently. The upper limit of the projection velocity of the above particles was set at 160 m/sec. because, if it exceeds this value, the projection

energy given to the particle projection area is excessive.
As a result, the compression residual stress in the spring
surface layer becomes lower than the case using the lower
projection velocity, and generation of a microcrack in the
surface layer is facilitated, whereby the effect of
improving spring endurance decreases in comparison with the
consumed energy.

Two types of non-nitrided thin sheet spring samples
corresponding to the spring shown in Figures 4 and 5
described above were produced by projecting high carbon
steel particles having a mean diameter of all particles of
37 µm and a hardness of Hv 865 at a velocity of 90 m/sec.
These samples were produced in the same process except that
final low-temperature annealing at 230°C was performed for
one type while it was omitted for the other. Then, a creep
test at 160°C was performed for both spring samples. As a
result, it was found that the spring without the final 230°C
low-temperature annealing had the same degree of creep as
the spring subjected to this annealing, both of which
exhibited excellent creep resistance. Meanwhile, spring
samples were produced by projecting steel shots having a
diameter of 0.3 mm at a velocity of 100 m/sec., and the same
test was performed. As a result, it was found that the
sample which had been subjected to the final low-temperature
annealing exhibited better creep resistance than the sample
without the annealing.

The reason for the above is considered to be that deformation of carbides in the steel of the former was more active than that of the latter, producing a comparatively larger number of free carbon atoms decomposed with the help of this deformation, and that such free carbon atoms was probably effective in blocking the movement of dislocations during the creep test. However, when short-term setting was performed for the above two types of springs with and without the 230°C low-temperature annealing at room temperature under the same stress condition, the spring without the annealing exhibited greater setting creep than the spring with the annealing.

From the above results, it is found that only the projection of hard metal microparticles is insufficient for fixation of dislocations generated in the spring surface layer by the projection. The reason why the creep by the 160°C creep test is irrespective of whether or not the 230°C low-temperature annealing has been performed is that deformation and disappearance of the iron carbides, i.e., cementites in the spring surface portion are more decomposed by projection of hard fine metal particles compared with by projection of 0.3 mm dia. metal particles, allowing for short-term development of strain aging due to carbon atoms decomposed during the temperature rise to 160°C. It is presumed, however, that the temperature rise due to instantaneous heating of the spring surface layer subjected

to particle projection is substantially in inverse
proportion to the diameter of projected particles. The
reason is considered as follows: The time required for
deformation of the spring surface layer by the collision of
particles is in proportion to the particle diameter if the
hardness of the particles and the quality of the spring are
the same. As the particle diameter is smaller, the time
required for deformation is shorter and thus the time when
heat generated during the deformation is dissipated outside
the deformation area is shorter, resulting in increasing the
temperature in the deformation area. (See description and
expression (8) on page 256 of "Kotai no Masatsu to Junkatsu
(Friction and lubrication of solid)" by Bowden & Taber,
translated by Norimune Soda, 4th ed., Maruzen, 1975. This
literature describes that the contact time of colliding
materials is in proportion to the square root of (mass M/
grain radius r), i.e., $\sqrt{(M/r)}$. According to this
description, since $\sqrt{(M/r)} \propto r$, the contact time is
eventually in proportion to r.)

It is considered that in the spring surface layer
subjected to the fine particle projection according to the
present invention, the heating due to collision and
deformation and the strain age hardening by carbon atoms and
nitrogen atoms have developed greater than the case of the
0.3 mm dia. particles. It is also considered that the
cementite is deformed partly because the cementite has a

45

property that deformation resistance decreases as the temperature rises. It should be noted that the cementite is deformed and partly disappears, facilitating its fragmentation, when the fine particle projection velocity is about 180 m/sec. Fragmetation of the cementite reduces the effect of blocking the movement of a dislocation generated by the deformation in the iron. The fragmentation is therefore considered to be a cause of the reduction of the surface residual stress as well as the increased projection velocity. It should be noted that, when the variation in the size of particles with respect to the mean diameter of projected microparticles used for the present invention becomes great and the percentage of larger-size particles increases, the effect of improving endurance decreases. In view of this, the maximum mean diameter should actually be less than 100 μm, preferably 80 μm or less.

As another effect of the fine particle projection according to the present invention, it has been found that deformation of the spring due to the fine particle projection can be reduced and, as a result, occurrence of a variation in the size of springs during mass production can be reduced. The reason for this effect is presumed to be that the layer influenced by the fine particle projection according to the present invention is comparatively thin, contributing to suppression of a large deformation of the springs and that the collision velocity during the fine

particle projection is comparatively low according to the present invention, contributing to a smaller variation in the projection velocity compared with high-velocity projection (Figure 6).

When the surface layer of the thus-treated high-carbon steel spring is observed with a transmission electron microscope, development of a very minute structure (sub-grain) having a bending in a deformation band generated by deformation of the surface is recognized, as well as decoupling(frangmentation) of part of cementite precipitates with very small intervals between them and an increase of dislocation density in the iron. However, when fine particles were projected at an optimal projection velocity according to the present invention, cementite decoupling hardly occurred. No clear micro-structure (polygonal structure) due to recovery/recrystallization was observed. No supercooled structure such as martensite and bainite was recognized, either.

It is effective to provide the compression residual stress inside down to a considerably deep portion of the surface layer by multi-stage shot peening for springs having a comparatively large wire diameter or plate thickness, specifically a wire diameter of 1.5 to 2.0 mm or more for wire springs. This is broadly adopted for valve springs for internal combustion engines of automobiles. According to the present invention, also, as in (A) of claim 4, particles

47

having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm are projected at a velocity of 40 to 90 m/sec for preventing fatigue breaking from a nonmetallic inclusion by providing the compression residual stress comparatively deep inside the spring. For springs having a wire diameter of 2.0 to 2.5 mm or more, generation of cracks from inside and a position near the surface can be prevented to some extent by projecting particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.4 mm after the projection of particles having a diameter of 0.5 to 0.9 mm (claim 7) to improve the residual stress in a layer comparatively near the surface. After such projection of particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm, the compression residual stress of the surface is still insufficient. The insufficient compression residual stress can be enhanced by the microparticle projection according to the present invention. For springs having a wire diameter or plate thickness smaller than the above value, improving fatigue strength by the projection of fine metal particles according to the present invention without nitriding is also included in the treatment method according to the present invention (claim 3).

In order to overcome the disadvantage of the projection of comparatively large particles, according to the present invention (claim 4), hard fine metal particles having diameter between 10 to less than 100 μm, a mean diameter of all particles of 20 to 80 μm, a spherical or

near spherical shape having no square portions, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and its hardness of Hv 350 to 1100 are sufficiently projected at a velocity of 50 to 160 m/sec to form a strongly treated layer in uniform without generating a microcrack or a large recess harmful to fatigue strength in the surface layer, to provide a high compression residual stress.

The coverage of the above projection of particles having a diameter between 10 to less than 100 μm, preferably 10 to 80 μm is desirably 100% or more of the area of the target spring which requires improvement of endurance. The sufficient projection described above corresponds to this coverage.

The lower limit of the initial hardness of the particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm was set at Hv 350 for the following reasons: Particles having a lower hardness than that of the spring surface are repeatedly deformed by repeated collisions and gradually work-hardened, increasing the hardness. Part of energy at collision is used for deformation of the spring surface layer if the hardness of the particles is Hv 350 or more. In consideration of the above, Hv 350 was adopted as the lower limit.

As described above, it was found that good results were obtainable for non-nitrided springs having a surface

hardness lower than that of the nitrided springs under
conditions similar to those for the nitrided springs.

The initial hardness of projected particles as used
herein refers to the hardness of new particles. The values
of the hardness and the like recited in the claims are those
of new particles. In the present invention, since particles
to be projected are gradually worn and abraded over repeated
use, particles smaller than new particles are actually used.
It is therefore necessary that such particles are not
changed to particles having sharp edges by breaking during
the use. The following steps are included in the spring
production process according to the present invention: low-
temperature annealing for removing the residual stress at a
temperature of about 250 to 500°C for springs subjected to
cold forming; polishing a seat face after coil spring
formation, after annealing for removing the residual stress
after the coil spring formation, after nitriding, or the
like; low-temperature annealing by heating at a temperature
of about 200 to 250°C for improvement of creep resistance
after microparticle projection or after projection of
particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm as a pre-step
of the microparticle projection; and warm or cold setting
for the same purpose.

An effect of the projection of hard metal particles
according to the present invention is to provide a high
compression residual stress without reducing the ductility

50

and toughness of the spring surface layer which may be
caused by generation of a microcrack or excessive plastic
working harmful to fatigue breaking, so that spread of a
microcrack from a defective portion on the surface or in the
surface layer which may cause fatigue breaking is prevented,
to improve fatigue endurance. The projection of hard fine
particles according to the present invention realizes work
hardening due to metallographic work deformation in a
substantially outermost surface layer without generating a
defect harmful to fatigue in the spring surface layer, and
as a result provides an extremely high compression residual
stress. Instantaneous heating and high pressure generated
by the fine particle projection causes strong deformation of
Fe_3C in the spring steel and disappearance thereof by
partial decomposition, generating C atoms in solid solution,
which in turn facilitate dislocation fixation and
dislocation multiplication. In the nitrided spring surface
layer, also, nitrogen in solid solution causes dislocation
fixation and multiplication via instantaneous deformation
and heating during the fine particle projection as described
above for C atoms. These especially facilitate size-
reduction and work hardening of the cell structures of the
spring surface layer. Such forms were clarified by several
ten thousand magnification transmission electron microscope.
It is considered that large work hardening in the surface
layer improves the elastic limit of the surface layer and,

as a result, contributes to improvement of the residual
stress which falls within the elastic limit. The best
effect can be obtained when the collision velocity against
the spring during fine particle projection is 60 to 140
m/sec. If it is higher than this range, although an effect
is obtained, the residual stress by processing is gradually
reduced as the collision velocity increases especially for
nitrided springs, and the material becomes brittle due to a
microcrack and excessive plastic working, resulting in
reduction of the effect of improving fatigue strength. If
the velocity exceeds 190 m/sec, more strictly 170 m/sec for
nitrided springs, such a defect becomes more significant.
For non-nitrided springs, if the velocity exceeds 160 m/sec,
although an effect is obtained, it is far from the optimal
conditions. If the collision velocity during projection is
less than 60 m/sec or 50 m/sec, the depth of the surface
portion of the spring which can be processed by the
collision becomes small and the residual stress decreases.
As a result, although the effect of improving fatigue
strength is obtained, it is clearly inferior to the optimal
conditions.

BRIEF DISCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS
        Figure 1 shows curves representing the relationship
between the compression residual stress at the surface of a
high tensile spring and the particle projection velocity,

when 0.6 mm dia. steel particles and then fine steel
particles (mean diameter of 63 μm for new particles) are
projected after nitriding.

Figure 2 shows curves of the compression residual
stress obtained when high-speed steel fine particles having
a mean diameter of 63 μm are projected against a nitrided
spring after the projection of 0.6 mm dia. particles, as in
Figure 1, at projection velocities of 90 m/sec. and 190
m/sec.

Figure 3 shows curves representing the relationship
between the compression residual stress obtained by the
second-stage particle projection against the nitrided high-
strength spring already subjected to the projection of 0.6
mm dia. particles, as in Figure 1, and the diameter of the
projected particles.

Figure 4 is a view showing the effect of the
collision velocity of the projection of the two types of
steel particles having a nominal diameter of 50 μm against a
spring on the fatigue limit amplitude stress of the spring
after the projection. This figure was obtained by
extracting part of the data of Figure 5 and rearranging the
data.

Figure 5 shows the investigation results of the
influence of the projection of hard metal particles on a
spring steel thin-plate spring, showing the relationship
between the mean diameters of projected particles made of

high-carbon steel and high-speed steel and the fatigue limit amplitude stress (mean stress; fixed at 786 N/mm^2) after particle projection. The numbers in this figure represent collision velocities in m/sec.

Figure 6 shows the measurement results of the reduction in the height of a thin-sheet spring due to projection of hard metal particles. This figure was derived from the measurement in the same test that was performed for the data of Figures 4 and 5. The numbers accompanying respective plot points represent nominal particle diameters.

Figure 7 shows iron matrix residual stress distribution curves obtained by X-ray method of the surface portion of a valve spring made of 4.0 mm dia. piano wire.

BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

Hereinbelow, embodiments of the present invention will be described.

(Embodiment 1)

Conventionally, the following process has been employed for improving the durability, especially the fatigue resistance strength, of valve springs, crutch springs, and the like by nitriding.

Alloy steel oil tempered wires for springs (hereafter, referred to as OT wires) → forming springs (cold coiling) → annealing for removing a residual stress → polishing a

54

seat face → descaling the surface → nitriding → shot
peening → low-temperature annealing

As the shot-peening after the nitriding, normally,
steel balls having a grain diameter of about 0.5 to 0.9 mm
and a hardness of Hv 500 to 800 or a number of hard metal
particles such as cut wires are projected in the case of
one-stage shot. In the case of two-stage shot, first a
number of steel balls having a particle diameter of about
0.5 to 0.9 mm and then a number of metal particles having a
grain diameter of about 0.2 to 0.4 mm are projected.

According to the present invention, a method of shot
peening after nitriding is provided, where the following
metal particles are projected at a velocity of 50 to 190
mm/sec. after the first-stage projection or after the
second-stage projection subsequent to the first-stage
projection. The metal particles have a mean diameter of all
particles in the range between 20 μm and 80 μm inclusive, a
mean diameter of each particle in the range between 10 μm
inclusive and less than 100 μm, a spherical or near
spherical shape having no square portions, a specific
gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and hardness which is in the range
between Hv 600 and Hv 1100 inclusive and equal to or less
than the hardness of the spring surface after nitriding or
carbonitriding. By this projection, work hardening of the
spring surface layer and prevention of generation of a
microcrack are effectively obtained, and a high residual

stress and hardness are provided for the outermost surface layer.

After the above steps, low-temperature annealing is performed to ensure dislocation pinning in the layer influenced by the shot peening (150 to 200 μm from the surface layer). By this annealing, a spring having markedly good fatigue resistance and creep resistance which would not have been realized by only conventional methods was obtained.

Examples of methods of descaling before nitriding include pickling, electropolishing, and metal particle projection. According to the present invention, a descaling method before nitriding is defined by claim 2. This method is intended to obtain a high fatigue resistance after nitriding by projection of fine particles made of iron or the like.

The manufacture and performance of a spring in Embodiment 1 are as follows.

The high-performance spring corresponding to claim 8 can be produced by the method defined by claim 2, i.e., descaling before nitriding, followed by nitriding and subsequent particle projections.

A 3.2 mm dia. high-strength oil tempered wire for valve spring containing C: 0.59%, Si: 1.90%, Mn: 0.84%, Ni:0.27%, Cr:0.96%, and V:0.09% (unit: all mass percent) (material recited (2) in claim 8) was subjected to cold coiling, tempering for removing a stress at 420°C, and

polishing a seat face. Then, the spring was subjected to descaling, where particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 37 μm, a mean diameter of each particle of 75 to 10 μm, a shape having no square portions with a maximum/minimum diameter ratio of each particle of 1.2 or less, a specific gravity of 7.5, and a hardness of Hv 865 were projected at a velocity of 107 m/sec, followed by nitriding, to obtain a hardness of Hv 910 at a surface layer (a depth of 3 to 5 μm). Thereafter, round cut wires having a diameter of 0.6 mm and a hardness of Hv 550 were sufficiently projected at a velocity of 70 m/sec to provide the compression residue stress comparatively deep inside the spring. The hardness of the surface layer at this time was Hv 930. Subsequently, high-carbon steel particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 37 μm, a mean diameter of the largest particle of 75 μm or less, a mean diameter of the smallest particle of about 10 μm, a substantially spherical shape having no square portions with a major/minor diameter ratio of 1.2 or less, a specific gravity of 7.6, and a mean hardness of Hv 865 were projected sufficiently at a mean velocity of 107 m/sec. Low-temperature annealing was then performed at 220°C. The surface hardness at this time was Hv 975.

The compression residual stress in the spring outermost surface layer at the above time was 2010 MPa. The hardnesses at depths of 0.2 mm and 0.5 mm from the surface

57

of the spring were Hv 570 and Hv 545, respectively. The
sizes of nonmetallic inclusions and carbonitrides in the
steel were 15 μm or less and less than 10 μm, respectively.
The hardness of the outermost surface of the nitrided spring
before the projection was Hv 910, the hardness of the
projected 0.6 mm dia. carbon steel particles was Hv 550, the
initial mean hardness of the fine high-carbon steel
particles was Hv 865, and the mean hardness of used fine
high-carbon steel particles was Hv 960. The resultant
spring was subjected to a fatigue test varying an amplitude
stress with a mean stress of 686 MPa at a velocity of 1000
times/min. under a constant amplitude stress. As a result,
the fatigue limit was ±677 MPa or more in amplitude stress
at 5×10^7 times, and no breaking was exhibited in any of n
= 6 spring samples. Such a spring corresponds to claim 8,
and the above production method corresponds to claims 1 and
2.

Springs were also produced in the following manner.
As the descaling, first, cut wires having a diameter of 0.6
mm and a hardness of Hv 550 were projected against springs
at a velocity of 70 m/sec. Then, high-carbon steel
particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 37 μm
were projected at a velocity of 107 m/sec. The nitriding
and subsequent steps were the same as those described above
for the spring of Embodiment 1. As a result, springs having
substantially the same fatigue resistance were obtained. In

58

this case, when projection of only the 0.6 mm dia. cut wires having a hardness of Hv 550 was performed as the descaling, the fatigue limit at $N = 5 \times 10^7$ times was 686 MPa ± 647 MPa even when the two-stage projection was performed after the nitriding. The fatigue strength of a coil spring for valve spring can be expressed by the mean stress τm and the amplitude stress ±τa if the number N of stress repetition times is fixed to a constant value. In this embodiment, N is fixed to 5×10^7 times. In the prior art technique, when τm = 686 MPa, a value of about 610 to 620 MPa was attained as τa. Conventionally, however, such a high fatigue strength as τa ≥ 677 MPa at τm = 686 MPa has not been attained. It is conventionally known that for springs having the same quality and shape, as the mean stress τm increases, the stress amplitude τa of the fatigue limit decreases. It has been recognized that with an increase of τm by x MPa, τa of the fatigue limit decreases proximately by x/5. Therefore, the fatigue limit τm ± τa can be represented by (constant 1 - x) ± (constant 2 + x/5). When constant 1 is 800 MPa, the fatigue limit can be represented by (800 - x) ± (constant 2 + x/5). When the above fatigue 686 MPa ± 647 MPa is substituted into this expression, constant 2 is 624.2 MPa. Thus, in the present invention, a spring having a fatigue limit which satisfies expression (1) is claimed as in claim 8.

When τm = 800 - x, τa ≥ 620 + x/5   ... (1)

Where, the unit is all MPa and x denotes a variable in the
range of 0 to 150 inclusive.

The springs descaled by the projection of 0.6 mm dia.
iron-based particles before nitriding managed to satisfy
expression (1), but with high stress repetition of a mean
stress of 686 MPa and an amplitude stress of ±677 MPa,
inter-wire contact occurred at spring ends sporadically
generating fatigue breaking. When the SS treatment
according to the present invention is sufficiently performed
subsequent to the projection of the 0.6 mm dia. particles as
descaling, such fatigue breaking at an inter-wire contact
portion can be improved. Thus, such two-stage shot
descaling including the SS treatment is also included in the
present invention.

Reference springs (1) and (2) in Embodiment 1

The above spring in which the second-stage
microparticle projection was omitted, i.e., Reference spring
(1), exhibited a fatigue limit amplitude stress of ±510 MPa
with a mean stress of 686 MPa. This does not satisfy the
fatigue strength recited in claim 8. Additionally,
Reference spring (2) was produced, in which the second-stage
projection was changed to projection of steel particles
having a mean diameter of all particles of about 72 μm, a

60

mean diameter of the largest particle of about 200 μm, and a mean diameter of the smallest particle of about 7 μm under an air pressure of 0.5 MPa (the collision velocity of particles having a mean diameter of 72 μm is about 130 m/sec.). The mean stress of the fatigue limit stress of this spring was the same as that of the spring of Embodiment 1 according to the present invention, and the amplitude stress was ±530 MPa. Although some effect is recognized, this does not satisfy claim 8.

In the above experiment, after nitriding, the spring was subjected to projection of steel particles having a diameter of 0.6 mm and a hardness of Hv 550 before the SS treatment. However, this pre-projection is little advantageous for a work having a wire diameter or plate thickness of 1.5 to 2 mm. Rather, it is more advantageous to perform the SS treatment immediately after the nitriding in the aspects of performance such as fatigue resistance and cost. This is therefore substantially included in the present invention.

(Embodiment 2)

This embodiment according to the present invention is a method for processing a non-nitrided spring. According to this method, a number of hard metal particles having a mean diameter in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and a hardness of

61

Hv 350 to 1100 are projected so as to keep the surface roughness as small as possible, form a strongly deformed layer comparatively in uniform on a substantially outermost surface layer without generating a local excessive deformation (also referred to as a local shear deformation band or an adiabatic deformation band), and provide a residual stress as high as possible, so that fatigue breaking from the surface layer of the spring can be prevented without the necessity of nitriding.

Hard metal particles having a hardness of Hv 350 to 1100, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and a mean diameter in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, desirably 10 to 80 μm are projected at a velocity in the range between 50 m/sec. and 160 m/sec. inclusive, desirably 60 m/sec. to 140 m/sec., so that a microcrack and a non-uniform shear deformation band harmful to the durability is not generated near the surface layer, the compression residual stress in substantially outermost surface layer is increased, and fatigue breaking of the spring from the surface layer is prevented. By this processing, the fatigue strength and endurance of small springs and various thin-sheet springs made of small diameter piano wires and small diameter oil tempered wires.

According to the present invention, the influence of the projection velocity was investigated and studied in detail, so as to obtain higher endurance. That is, fine

particles are projected at a velocity V ≤ 160 m/sec.,
desirably 60 m/sec. ≤ V ≤ 140 m/sec., so as not to exceed
the A3 transformation point unlike Japanese Patent
Publication for Opposition No. 2-17607, "Surface processing
heat treatment method for metal work" defining the
microparticle projection velocity v as 100 m/sec or more,
and so as not to cause excessive deformation of the surface
layer by projecting at a velocity V > 160 m/sec, so that the
resultant instantaneous temperature rise is controlled to be
lower than the point at which recovery/recrystallization
occurs and excessive deformation of the surface layer is
prevented. In this way, higher durability is obtained.

     As sample springs, spring steel containing C: 0.55%,
Si: 1.47, and the other alloy elements having a section of a
plate thickness of 0.97 mm and a plate width of 5.1 mm and a
hardness of Hv 537 to 589 subjected to patenting,
wiredrawing, and cold rolling was used. The spring
processing steps were in the order of forming springs →
annealing for removing a stress → projecting fine particles
→ low temperature annealing (230°C). The step of projecting
fine particles was performed using (1) fine carbon steel
particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 37 μm
(new particles), a hardness of Hv 865, and a specific
gravity of 7.6 and (2) fine high-speed steel particles
having a mean diameter of all particles of 63 μm (new
particles), a hardness of Hv 860, and a specific gravity of

8.2. These fine particles were sufficiently projected at
various velocities. Then, a fatigue test was performed for
the resultant springs, to obtain the relationship between
the fine particle projection velocity and the fatigue
strength. The results are shown in Figure 3. The fatigue
limit stress at this time was a mean stress of 785 MPa and
an amplitude stress of no breaking at 10^7 times of
repetition. As a result, it was found that for both the
carbon steel particles and the high-speed steel particles,
the best effect of improving the fatigue strength was
obtained at a collision velocity of 60 to 140 m/sec. As for
the projection of (2) high-speed steel particles, it is
considered that the fatigue limit amplitude stress exceeds
700 MPa when the collision velocity V is in the range of 50
to 140 m/sec. As for the projection of (1) high-carbon
steel particles, it is considered that the fatigue limit
amplitude stress exceeds 700 MPa when the collision velocity
V is in the range of 60 to 160 m/sec. Therefore, a very
good improving effect is recognized.

　　　As comparative examples of the present invention, a
spring without shot peening had a fatigue limit amplitude
stress of 440 MPa exhibiting a low fatigue limit. A spring
subjected to sufficient projection of 0.3 mm dia. steel
shots at a velocity V = 100 m/sec. had a fatigue limit
amplitude stress of ±300 MPa, exhibiting no effect of
particle projection (this sample was produced in the same

manner as that for Embodiment 2 except that 0.3 mm dia.
steel shots were projected in place of microparticles.

(Example 3)

For non-nitrided high-strength springs having a
comparatively large section, e.g., a wire diameter of 2 mm
or more, steel particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm
are projected at a velocity of 40 to 90 m/sec. as a pre-
treatment of the fine particle projection to provide a
compression residual stress comparatively deep inside the
springs. By this pre-treatment, while the compression
residual stress reaches the maximum value at a depth of
several tens of micrometers from the surface, it is low in
the substantially outermost surface layer compared with the
maximum value obtained inside the spring. This fails to
satisfactorily prevent fatigue fracture originating from
near the surface of the spring. In order to improve this
problem, hard metal particles having a diameter between 10
and less than 100 μm, more desirably 10 to 80 μm, a specific
gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and a hardness of Hv 350 to 1100 are
projected at a velocity v of 50 to 160 m/sec., more
desirably 60 to 140 m/sec., after the above projection of
particles having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm.

Spring of Embodiment 3

A high-strength oil temper wire for valve spring having a diameter of 3.2 mm, a tensile strength of 2070 MPa which is higher than JIS SWOSC-V, and a hardness of the surface portion of about Hv 620 (chemical composition is C:0.61%, Si: 1.46%, Mn: 0.70%, Ni: 0.25%, Cr:0.85%, and V: 0.06%; unit is all mass percent; this material corresponds to steel type (2) in claim 8) was formed into a coil spring by cold working. For removing a residual stress generated by the coiling, the resultant coil was subjected to low-temperature annealing at 400°C for 20 minutes, seat-face polishing, projection of steel particles having a diameter of 0.6 mm, a specific gravity of about 7.8, and a hardness of Hv 550 at a velocity of 70 m/sec. Subsequently, it was subjected to sufficient projection of iron-based particles having a nominal diameter of 50 μm, a mean diameter of all new particles of 37 μm as actually measured, a shape having no square portions with a maximum/minimum diameter ratio of each particle of 1.2 or less, a specific gravity of about 7.5, a mean hardness of Hv 865, and a mean diameter of each particle distributing within the range of 10 to 75 μm (measured for n = 60) at a collision velocity of 107 m/sec. The resultant spring was subjected to low-temperature annealing at 220°C for dislocation pinning, and then cold setting for finishing. The thus-produced spring of Embodiment 3 was examined by X-ray method and found that the compression residual stress was 1350 MPa on the outermost

66

surface of the iron matrix and decreased as the measured position was deeper from the surface. The hardness was Hv 690 at the substantially outermost surface layer, and Hv 600 to 580 at the depth of 0.2 mm to 0.5 mm from the surface layer on the inner side of the spring. A fatigue test was performed for this spring and found that for n = 10 test springs no breaking was found at 5×10^7 times of repetition and the fatigue limit mean stress was 588 MPa while the amplitude stress was ±510 MPa. Assuming that the maximum mean stress loaded on this coil spring is 690 MPa and the mean stress is expressed as τm = 690 – x, the fatigue limit amplitude stress τa can be expressed as τa = 489.6 + x/5 from the relationship between τm and τa described in Embodiment 1. This expression is however a mathematization of the results of only one test described above. In consideration of the tensile strength of a steel wire, the type of the steel, the wire diameter, and the like, the following was obtained.

When mean stress τm = 690 – x,
fatigue limit amplitude stress
$$\tau a = \pm(470 + x/5) \qquad \cdots (2)$$

From the above, it is found that the spring of Embodiment 3 satisfies expression (2) in claims 9 and 10. The spring recited in claim 9 according to the present invention tends

67

to have a residual stress of 1200 MPa to 1600 MPa in the near surface layer (the outermost surface layer). Therefore, the range for the spring according to the present invention is defined as 1100 to 1700 MPa.

Comparative springs (3) and (4) in Embodiment 3

Comparative spring (3) was produced using an oil tempered wire of the same lot as the spring of Embodiment 3 described above in the same process as that described above except that the projection of iron-based particles having a nominal diameter of 50 μm was omitted. The maximum compression residual stress in the surface layer of the resultant spring was at a depth of about 40 μm from the surface, and the value was about 820 MPa. The compression residual stress near the surface was 630 MPa which does not satisfy the requirement of claim 9. As a result of a fatigue test performed on this spring, the mean stress of 588 MPa and the amplitude stress of ±440 MPa were obtained as the fatigue limit at 5×10^7 times of repetition, which is lower than the fatigue limit recited in claim 10. Further, comparative spring (4) was produced as follows. As the second-stage projection, high-carbon steel particles having a nominal diameter of 100 μm, a mean diameter of all particles of 97 μm as actually measured, a mean diameter of the largest particle of 130 μm as actually measured, and a mean diameter of the smallest particle of 35 μm as actually

68

measured, and a maximum/minimum diameter ratio of each diameter of 1.2 or less were projected at a velocity of about 85 m/sec. Thereafter, as in the spring of Embodiment 3, the resultant spring was subjected to low-temperature annealing at 220°C, and then cold setting for finishing. As the results of a fatigue test at 5×10^7 times of repetition, the amplitude stress of ±461 MPa with the mean stress of 588 MPa, which does not satisfy the requirement of claim 10.

The relationship between the hardness of the spring surface before projection of particles having a diameter in the range between 10 μm and less than 100 μm and the hardness of projected particles is as follows. When the spring is not nitrided, the spring surface is lower in the hardness than a nitrided spring and thus higher in the ductility. Accordingly, a microcrack and the like are less easily generated even when steel particles having a hardness higher than that of the spring surface are projected as far as the projection velocity is 160 m/sec or less. Meanwhile, the effect of improving the surface layer is observed even if the hardness of projected particles is lower than that of the spring surface. In particular, in the case where the hardness of the spring to be processed is as high as Hv 550 to 600 or more and the projection is performed at a comparatively high velocity of 100 to 140 m/sec. or more, if fine particles having a hardness equal to or less than that of the material to be processed are projected, the

unevenness of the surface is reduced and further a high
residual stress is obtained comparatively deep inside. If
the hardness of projected particles is low, the projected
particles themselves, not the spring to be processed, are
markedly work-hardened by repeated projections. However, if
the hardness of new particles is less than Hv 350, the
efficiency of obtaining the effect of improving the surface
layer of the spring to be processed decreases. Accordingly,
in claims 3, 4, and 5, the lower limit of the hardness was
set at Hv 350. Fine particles made of carbon steel and
alloy steel are economical since they are available at
comparatively low cost. The hardness of such fine particles
is Hv 1100 or less. In consideration of the economy and for
prevention of an increase of surface roughness and
generation of a microcrack in the surface layer which are
harmful to endurance, the upper limit of the hardness of new
fine particles was set at Hv 1100.

(Embodiment 4)

     Hereinbelow, a spring corresponding to claim 11 will
be described, which is made of a steel wire mainly composed
of fine pearlite subjected to wiredrawing for strengthening
and produced without nitriding by performing normal shot
peening with comparatively large shots and thereafter
projection of fine particles having a nominal diameter of 50
μm. A valve spring for internal combustion engine for

automobile was produced as a trial using a piano wire having a diameter of 4.0 mm, a tensile strength $\sigma B = 1,735$ MPa, a mean hardness of Hv about 450. The piano wire was formed into a spring by cold coiling, subjected to annealing at 350°C for 15 minutes for stress removal, removal of a tensile residual stress on the surface of the inner portion of the coil, and polishing of a seat face. Cut wires having a diameter of 0.6 mm and a hardness of Hv 550 were sufficiently projected and then low-temperature annealing was performed at 220°C. Subsequently, high-carbon steel particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 37 µm, a mean diameter of the largest particle of about 75 µm, a specific gravity of about 7.6, a hardness of Hv 865, a shape having no square portions with a maximum/minimum diameter ratio of each particle of 1.2 or less were sufficiently projected at a velocity of 107 m/sec. This was followed by low-temperature annealing at 220°C and cold setting. The compression residual stress of the outermost surface layer of this spring was 590 MPa (Figure 7).

Comparative spring (5) was produced using the same material and process as those described above for the spring of Embodiment 4 except that the projection of 50 µm dia. microparticles was omitted. The compression residual stress on the outermost surface layer was 430 MPa (Figure 7) which does not satisfy the requirement of claim 11, i.e., 550 MPa. Also, Comparative spring (6) was produced by projecting

71

particles having a nominal diameter of 100 μm under the same
conditions as those of the second-stage projection of
Comparative spring (2), in place of the second-stage
projection for the above spring according to the present
invention.

The thus-produced valve spring of Embodiment 4
according to the present invention and comparative springs
were subjected to fatigue test. The test was performed at a
speed of 1000 cycles/min using n = 15 springs for each
stress level. As a result, the spring of Embodiment 4
according to the present invention was clearly superior in
the improving effect to the comparative springs as described
below. The former satisfied expression (3) in claim 11
while the comparative examples (5) and (6) did not satisfy
the expression.

Fatigue strength

Spring of Embodiment 4   fatigue limit $\tau a \geq 461$ MPa
  according to the invention

Comparative spring (5)   fatigue limit $\tau a = 373$ MPa

Comparative spring (6)   fatigue limit $\tau a = 402$ MPa

(Mean stress $\tau m = 588$ MPa, 5×10^7 times of repetition for
all cases)

Assuming that the maximum mean stress loaded on the
coil spring is 690 MPa and considering the relationship
between the mean stress and the amplitude stress described

above in relation to expressions (1) and (2), for the mean stress $\tau m = 690 - x$, the fatigue limit amplitude stress τa of the spring of Embodiment 4 can be expressed as $\tau a \geq 440.6 + x/5$. In consideration of the wire diameter, the tensile strength of the wire, the type of the steel, and the like, the spring which satisfies expression (3) below is defined as the spring according to the present invention, and the compression residual stress in the near surface layer is set at 550 MPa or more (claim 11).

When mean stress $\tau m = 690 - x$,

fatigue limit amplitude stress at 5×10^7 times of repetition $\tau a \geq 422 + x/5$ ... (3)

where x: 0 to 140.

From Figure 7 showing residual stress distributions of the spring of this embodiment and the comparative spring (Comparative spring (5) only), it is observed that the residual stress in the surface layer from the outermost surface to the depth of 50 μm was greatly improved by the SS treatment. The surface roughness Rmax of these springs was 13.2 μm in the case of only the projection of 0.6 mm dia. particles, and 9.2 μm in the case of the projection of particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 37 μm

73

after the projection of 0.6 mm dia. particles according to the present invention.

In the above tests, when the stress loaded on the spring of Embodiment 4 during the fatigue test was large, the creep of the spring slightly increased. In order to prevent this generation of creep, a steel wire of a pearlite type subjected to cold wiredrawing with an addition of an element which increases creep resistance, such as silicon and/or chromium, may be used in place of the piano wire. Hot setting may also be employed for prevention of creep. The present invention includes these modifications.

(Embodiment 5)

A valve spring was produced as a trial using a 3.2 mm dia. JIS SWOSC-V oil tempered wire for valve spring. The valve spring was subjected to the SS treatment without nitriding. The valve spring was produced in the following process: spring coiling; tempering at 400°C for 20 minutes; projection of iron-based round cut wires having a diameter of 0.6 mm at a velocity of 70 m/sec.; SS treatment with high-carbon steel microparticles (velocity: 107 m/sec., mean diameter of all particles: 40 μm, mean diameter of the largest particle: 75 μm); low-temperature annealing at 220°C for 20 minutes; and finally cold setting. The compression residual stress in the near surface layer was 1010 MPa. The spring was subjected to a fatigue test. As a result, the

74

fatigue limit amplitude stress was 466 MPa with the mean stress $\tau m = 588$ MPa at $N = 5 \times 10^7$ times of repetition. The amplitude stress τa can be expressed as $\tau a = 445.6 + x/5$ when the mean stress is $690 - x$. In consideration of a variation in the tensile strength of the SWOSC-V oil tempered wire, the wire diameter range, and the like, according to the present invention, the compression residual stress of the iron base in the near surface is set at 900 MPa or more, and the fatigue strength of the spring is defined as expression (4) below (claim 11).

When mean stress $\tau m = 690 - x$, repeat stress τa at 5×10^7 times is:

$$\tau a \geq 440 + x/5 \qquad \cdots \ (4)$$

All of expression (2) in claim 10, expression (3) in claim 11, and expression (4) in claim 12 indicate that when the mean stress decreases by x MPa, the fatigue limit amplitude stress increases by (x/5) MPa. These expressions were induced in consideration of the results of the fatigue test for the trial springs produced using the steps and material recited in the respective claims as essentials. The residual stress in the outermost surface layer and the fatigue strength are superior to the conventional springs which are not subjected to stress-peening as described above. The fatigue strength and the residual stress can further be

improved if the projection of fine particles according to the present invention is performed under the state of stress loading (stress-peening) as described above.

Thus, from the foregoing, the following are recognized.

(1) The method of improving the fatigue strength of a coil spring by nitriding is effective for a compressed coil spring such as a valve spring, but is disadvantageous in that cost is high. The present invention provides a surface treatment method and a spring capable of improving the endurance at comparatively low cost without the necessity of a large-scale facility as is required for nitriding.

(2) Considerably great endurance improvement is possible for a carbon steel spring for which endurance improvement by nitriding is substantially impossible, for example springs made of piano wire, hard drawn carbon steel wire, carbon steel oil tempered wire, and carbon steel thin sheet.

(3) For a thin-sheet spring on which a high tensile stress acts and a spring used under tensile strength, nitriding does not stabilize the fatigue strength but in reverse spoils the fatigue strength.

According to the present invention, fine particles are projected in the spring surface portion most appropriately to allow for strong working of the spring efficiently. This drastically improves the durability of a

spring used under tensile or bending stress, a tensile
spring, and the like, contributing to reduction of weight
and size of the spring.

     (4) As the fine particle projection velocity
according to the present invention decreases, the amount of
deformation of a spring by the particle projection becomes
smaller compared with the case of projection at an
excessively high velocity, resulting in reducing the
variation in the dimensions of the spring. This contributes
to the stability of the quality of the spring.

1. A spring surface treatment method, comprising the steps of:

(A) nitriding surface layer of springs;

(B) projecting hard metal particles having hardness which is lower than the hardness of the nitrided outermost surface layer (micro-Vickers hardness at a depth of about 5 μm from the outermost surface) and is in the range of Hv 500 to 800 and diameters from 200 to 900 μm against the nitrided surface of the springs at velocity from 40 m/sec. to 90 m/sec., so as to prevent generation of a microcrack in the surface layer by the projection (shot peening) and provide compression residual stress comparatively deep inside the springs; and

(C) projecting a number of fine metal particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 80 μm or less, a mean diameter of each particle in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, a spherical or near spherical shape having no square portions, specific gravity from 7.0 to 9.0, and hardness which falls in the range between Hv 600 and Hv 1100 inclusive and is equal to or less than the hardness of the outermost surface layer of the springs after nitriding or low-temperature carbonitriding at velocity from 50 to 190 m/sec., while controlling an instantaneous temperature rise limit of the iron matrix (excluding the nitride compound layer) of the nitrided

spring surface layer due to collision to be low enough to cause work hardening in the spring surface layer but not to cause softening due to recovery/recrystallization, thereby effectively work hardening and preventing generation of any microcracks in the surface layer to provide high compression residual stress and hardness.

2. A spring surface treatment method, comprising the steps of:

(A) projecting a number of metal particles such as iron-based particles having diameters between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, a mean diameter of all particles of 80 μm or less, more desirably 65 μm or less, mean diameter of each particle of 10 to 80 μm, a spherical or near spherical shape having no square portions, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and a hardness of Hv 350 to 900 against the surface of springs before nitriding at collision velocity in the range of 50 m/sec. and 160 m/sec. inclusive so that a temperature rise limit of the surface of the spring due to collision is controlled to be low enough to cause work hardening of the iron matrix of the springs but lower than the point at which recovery/recrystallization may occur so as to prevent generation of any microcracks and the like;

(B) nitriding surface portion of the springs after the step (A);

(C) projecting hard metal particles having hardness which is lower than the hardness of the nitrided outermost surface layer (the micro-Vickers hardness at a depth of about 5 µm from the outermost surface) and in the range of Hv 500 to 800, and a grain diameter of 200 to 900 µm against the nitrided surface of the springs at velocity of 40 m/sec. to 90 m/sec., so as to prevent generation of any microcracks in the surface layer by the projection (shot peening) and provide compression residual stress comparatively deep inside each spring; and

(D) projecting a number of metal microparticles having a mean diameter of all particles of 80 µm or less, a mean diameter of each particle in the range between 10 µm inclusive and less than 100 µm, a spherical or near spherical shape with no square portions, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and a hardness which falls in the range between Hv 600 and Hv 1100 inclusive and is equal to or less than the hardness of the outermost surface layer of the spring after nitriding or low-temperature carbonitriding at the velocity of 50 to 190 m/sec., while controlling the instantaneous temperature rise limit of the iron matrix (excluding nitride compound layer) of the nitrided spring surface layer due to collision to be high enough to cause work hardening in the surface layer but lower than a point at which softening due to recovery/recrystallization may occur, thereby effectively causing work hardening and

preventing generation of any microcracks in the surface layer to provide a high compression residual stress and hardness.

3. A surface treatment method comprised of the step of bombarding hard metal particles having hardness in the range between Hv 350 and 1100, specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, a mean diameter of all particles of 80 μm or less, a mean diameter of each particle in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, and a spherical or near spherical shape with no square portions, on the surface of springs with the surface layer hardness of Hv 400 to 750, which hardness was obtained either by low-temperature annealing for removal of macroscopic residual stress after cold forming, quench and temper after cold forming, or quench and temper after hot forming, at the collision velocity of 50 m/sec to 160 m/sec, while controlling the temperature rise limit of the spring surface layer due to collision to be low enough to cause work hardening in the spring surface layer but not to cause softening due to recovery/recrystallization and preventing generation of any microcracks in the surface layer and the like which may deteriorate fatigue strength, thereby improving the hardness and compression residual stress of the surface layer which is 30 μm to 50 μm or less deep from the surface and resulting in improved endurance of the springs.

4. A spring surface treatment method for preventing generation of harmful microcracks in surface layer and the like which may deteriorate fatigue strength and for improving especially the hardness and compression residual stress of the surface layer which is 30 μm to 50 μm or less deep from the surface, to improve endurance of the springs, the method comprising the steps of:

(A) projecting hard metal particles having hardness of Hv 350 to 900 and the particle diameter of 200 to 900 μm against the surface of formed and tempered springs having hardness of the surface layer of Hv 400 to 750 at the velocity of 40 m/sec to 90 m/sec so as to prevent generation of harmful microcracks in the surface layer and provide compression residual stress comparatively deep inside the springs; and

(B) performing the surface treatment method according to claim 3 on the spring surface after the step (A).

5. A spring surface treatment method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 80 μm or less and a mean diameter of each particle in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm and the projection conditions of the particles are limited to the following:

hardness of projected particles: initial hardness (new particles) Hv 600 to 1100

size of projected particles: initial mean diameter of
each particle (new particle) 10 μm to 80 μm

mean diameter of all particles: 65 μm or less

specific gravity of projected particles : 7.0 to 9.0

collision velocity against spring: 60 m/sec. to 140
m/sec.

6. A spring surface treatment method according to claim 3
or 4, wherein the particles having a mean diameter of all
particles of 80 μm or less and a mean diameter of each
particle in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than
100 μm and the projection conditions of the particles are
limited to the following:

hardness of projected particles: initial hardness
(new particles) Hv 350 to 1100

size of projected particles: initial mean diameter of
each particle (new particle) 10 μm to 80 μm

mean diameter of all particles: 65 μm or less

specific gravity of projected particles: 7.0 to 9.0

collision velocity against spring: 60 m/sec. to 140
m/sec.

7. A spring surface treatment method according to claim 1
or 4, wherein in the step (B) of claim 1 or in the step (A)
of claim 4, the projection of the hard metal particles
having a diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm is divided into first-
stage projection of comparatively large particles having a
diameter of 0.5 to 0.9 mm and second-stage projection of

comparatively small particles having a diameter of 0.2 to
0.4 mm.

8. A spring produced from a circular cross-section wire or
a non-circular cross-section wire by the steps according to
claim 1 as essential steps, the spring being a coil spring
made of any of steel types (1) to (4) containing respective
chemical components, a compression residual stress of iron
in a near surface layer by X-ray method being greater than
1700 MPa, sizes of a hard nonmetallic inclusion, carbide,
carbonitride, nitride, and the like which may cause fatigue
breaking of the spring and the hardness of matrix satisfying
following X or Y, the spring being a high fatigue resistance
strength spring having a fatigue strength at 5×10^7 times
of repetition satisfying expression (1) below:

     in a repeated stress of $\tau m \pm \tau a$, when $\tau m = 800 - x$,

     $\tau a \geq (620 + x/5)$ ... (1)

where τm: mean stress,

     τa: amplitude stress, and

     x: variable in the range of 0 and 150 inclusive,

     (unit: all MPa),

X: controlling the hardness of the matrix at a depth in the
range of 0.2 mm to 0.5 mm inclusive from the spring surface
in the range between Hv 520 and 580 inclusive when the size
of a harmful nonmetallic inclusion, carbide, and the like
existing in the spring is less than 20 μm or 15 μm or less,

Y: controlling the hardness of the matrix at a depth in the range of 0.2 mm to 0.5 mm inclusive from the spring surface in the range between Hv 520 and 630 inclusive when the size of a harmful nonmetallic inclusion, carbide, and the like existing in the spring can be controlled to 10 μm or less,

the steel types (1) to (4) being as follows:

(1) a steel type containing as essential components C: 0.50 to 0.80%, Si: 1.20 to 2.5%, Mn: ≤1.20%, and Cr: ≤1.80% and iron and impurities as the remainder, including a steel type with one or two kinds of V: 0.03 to 0.60% and/or Nb: 0.02 to 0.20% added thereto;

(2) a steel type containing one or more kinds of Ni: 0.5% or less and/or Co: 3.0% or less in addition to the steel type (1);

(3) a steel type containing W: 0.5% or less and/or Mo: 0.6% or less and/or Al: 0.5% or less in addition to the steel type (1) or (2); and

(4) a steel type containing C: 0.05% or less, Si: 0.8% or less, Mn: 0.8% or less, Ni: 16 to 26%, Ti: 0.2 to 1.6%, Al: 0.4% or less, Co: 8.5% or less, Mo: 5.5% or less, Nb: 0.6% or less (in addition to the above, 0.1% or less of B, Zr, and/or Ca may be added), and unavoidable impurities and iron as the remainder (unit of the chemical components is all mass percent).

9. A steel spring excellent in fatigue strength, produced using any of the steel types (1) to (3) in claim 8, by

quenching and tempering, so as to have a higher tensile strength than a JIS SWOSC-V oil tempered wire for valve springs depending on the wire diameter, forming into a spring, and then annealing at low temperature for removal of its residual stress, or by quenching and tempering after spring formation so as to have a higher tensile strength or hardness than a JIS SWOSC-V oil tempered wire for valve springs, or produced using the steel type (4) in claim 8 by performing solution treatment, cold wiredrawing or rolling, forming into a spring, aging, and quality-adjusting so that the tensile strength be 1900 MPa or more, being followed by the steps according to claim 4, wherein the spring has residual stress near the surface layer in the range between more than 1100 Mpa and 1700 MPa inclusive, the surface hardness is in the range of Hv 600 and Hv 800 inclusive, and when the hardness at a depth of 0.2 mm to 0.5 mm from the surface is in the range of Hv 580 to 630, the size of nonmetallic inclusions are less than 10 μm or less, and when the hardness at a depth of 0.2 mm to 0.5 mm from the surface is in the range between Hv 520 inclusive and less than Hv 580, the size of nonmetallic inclusions are 15 μm or less or less than 20 μm.

10. A spring according to claim 9, wherein the fatigue limit of the spring satisfies the following:

At a repeated stress of $\tau m \pm \tau a$, and 5×10^7 times of repetition,

$$\tau a \geq 470 + x/5 \qquad \cdots (2)$$

when $\tau m = 690 - x$,

where x: 0 to 183, and unit: MPa.

11. A spring made of cold drawn or warm drawn piano wire, low-alloy steel wire for spring superior in warm creep resistance to the piano wire, or similar steel wire, mainly composed of fine pearlite structure, having the wire diameter in the case of circular cross-section wire, the mean diameter or the mean thickness in the case of the non-circular cross-section wire of 1.5 mm or more, produced by subjecting to low temperature annealing for removal of the residual stress after forming into a spring, projecting hard metal particles having diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm, and then projecting a number of fine metal particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 65 μm or less, a mean diameter of each particle of 10 to 80 μm, spherical or near spherical shape having no square portions, specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and hardness in the range of Hv 350 and 1100 inclusive at velocity of 50 to 160 m/sec, while controlling temperature to be low enough to cause work hardening in the near surface layer but not to cause recovery/recrystallization, and having compression residual stress of 550 MPa or more in the iron matrix of the surface layer by X-ray method and a fatigue limit of:

At a repeated stress of $\tau m \pm \tau a$, and 5×10^7 times of repetition,

$$\tau a \geq 422 + x/5 \qquad \cdots (3)$$

when $\tau m = 690 - x$,

where, unit: MPa, and x: 0 to 140.

12. A spring made of a normal JIS SWOSC-V oil tempered wire for valve springs produced by subjecting to low-temperature annealing for removal of residual stress after formation into a spring, projecting hard metal particles having diameter of 0.2 to 0.9 mm, and then projecting a number of fine metal particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 65 μm or less, a mean diameter of each particle of 10 to 80 μm, a spherical or near spherical shape having no square portions, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and a hardness in the range of Hv 500 and 1100 inclusive at a velocity of 50 to 160 m/sec. while controlling a temperature to be low enough to cause work hardening in the near surface layer but not to cause recovery/recrystallization, to obtain compression residual stress measured by X-ray method of 900 MPa or more in the near surface layer, hardness at the depth of 0.2 to 0.5 mm from the surface of Hv 520 to 600, sizes of nonmetallic inclusions of 15 μm or less, and the fatigue limit of:

At a repeated stress of $\tau m \pm \tau a$, and 5×10^7 times of repetition,

$$\tau a \geq 440 + x/5 \quad \cdots (4)$$

when $\tau m = 690 - x$,

where, unit: MPa, and x: 0 to 208.

88

13. A sheet spring or wire spring with excellent fatigue strength, of which hardness at the surface layer before shot-peening is Hv 400 to 750, obtained by being projected by metal particles, each of which is characterized by the hardness of Hv 350 to 1100, the mean diameter of 10 to 80 μm, spherical or near spherical shape with no square portions and a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, with the mean diameter of the largest particle and the mean diameter of all particles to be 80 μm and 65 μm, respectively and preferably 75 μm and 50 μm, respectively, at a collision velocity of 50 to 160 m/sec while controlling the projection so as to cause work hardening but not to cause recovery/recrystallization.

ABSTRACT

Hard metal particles having hardness which is lower than the hardness of a nitrided outermost layer of a spring and in the range of Hv 500 to 800 and a diameter of 500 to 900 μm are projected against the nitrided surface of the spring at a velocity of 40 to 90 m/sec to prevent generation of a microcrack in the surface layer and provide a compression residual stress comparatively deep inside the spring. Against the resultant spring surface, a number of fine metal particles having a mean diameter of all particles of 80 μm or less, a mean diameter of each particle in the range between 10 μm inclusive and less than 100 μm, a spherical or near spherical shape with no square portions, a specific gravity of 7.0 to 9.0, and hardness which falls in the range between Hv 600 and Hv 1100 inclusive and is equal to or less than the hardness of the outermost surface layer of the spring after nitriding, at a velocity of 50 to 190 m/sec., while controlling temperature to be low enough to cause work hardening but not to cause softening due to recovery/recrystallization, to provide a high compression residual stress in a near surface layer without generation of microcracks in the surface layer and thus obtain a valve spring and the like excellent in fatigue strength.

90

FIG. 1

FIG. 2

Distance from surface (mm)

FIG. 3

Numbers denote collision velocities
(m/sec)

Grain diameter (μm)

Surface compression residual stress
(Mean value of outermost surface layer to depth of 10 μm)

FIG. 4

Fatigue limit amplitude stress σa (N/mm²)

□ : High-speed steel particle (50μmφ)
○ : High-carbon steel particle (50μmφ)

Collision velocity (m/sec)

FIG. 5

Fatigue limit so¥mplitude stress (N/mm²)

□ : High-speed steel particle
○ : High-carbon steel particle

Parenthesized numbers : velocity of high-speed steel particles
Non-parenthesized numbers : velocity of high-carbon steel particles

65 (90)107
137, 70 (130)
183,210
150
(130)
(120)
70
(100)

Nominal mean diameter of projected particles (×100μm)

FIG. 6

FIG. 7

Compression residual stress (MPa)

Distance from surface (mm)

o—o φ 0.6→SS
◇--◇ φ 0.6

Declaration For U.S. Patent Application

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:
My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below my name.
I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled
(Insert Title) ___SPRING WITH EXCELLENT FATIGUE ENDURANCE PROPERTY AND SURFACE___
___TREATMENT METHOD FOR PRODUCING THE SPRING___
the specification of which is attached hereto unless the following box is checked:

☒ was filed on ___August 23, 1999___ as PCT International Application
Number ___PCT/JP99/04539___ and was amended on _____

and/or ☐ was filed on ___October 19, 2000___ as United States Application
Number ___09/673,235___ and was amended on _____.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above.
I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.
I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or §365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or §365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate or PCT International Application having a filing date before that of the application(s) for which priority is claimed:

(List prior foreign applications. See note A on back of this page)				Priority Claimed
11-41865 (Number)	Japan (Country)	19 February, 1999 (Day/Month/Year Filed)		☒ Yes ☐ No
11-127628 (Number)	Japan (Country)	7 May, 1999 (Day/Month/Year Filed)		☒ Yes ☐ No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)		☐ Yes ☐ No

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

_____ (Application Number)   _____ (Filing Date)

_____ (Application Number)   _____ (Filing Date)

(See Note B on back of this page)   ☐ See attached list for additional prior foreign or provisional applications.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) or §365(c) of any PCT International application(s) designating the United States of America listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior application(s) (U.S. or PCT) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35, U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application.

(List prior U.S. Applications or PCT International applications designating the U.S.)		
_____ (Application Serial No.)	_____ (Filing Date)	_____ (Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)
_____ (Application Serial No.)	_____ (Filing Date)	_____ (Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)

And I hereby appoint as principal attorneys: David T. Nikaido, Reg. No. 27,663; Charles M. Marmelstein, Reg. No. 25,895; George E. Oram, Jr., Reg. No. 27,921; Robert B. Murray, Reg. No. 22,980; Douglas H. Goldhush, Reg. No. 33,125; Monica Chin Kitts, Reg. No. 36,105; Richard J. Berman, Reg. No. 39,107; King L. Wong, Reg. No. 37,500; James A. Poulos, III, Reg. No. 31,714; Murat Ozgu, Reg. No. 44,275; Bradley D. Goldizen, Reg. No. 43,637, Robert K. Carpenter, Reg. No. 34,794, Gregory B. Kang, Reg. No. 45,273, Rustan J. Hill, Reg. No. 37,351, Carl Schaukowitch, Reg. No. 29,211, Kevin F. Turner, Reg. No. 43,437 and Hans J. Crosby, Reg. No. 44,634.

Please direct all communications to the following address: ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339
Telephone No. (202) 857-6000; Facsimile No. (202) 638-4810

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and, further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(See Note C on back of this page)   Full name of sole or first inventor ___Masaaki ISHIDA___

Inventor's signature _____   ___November 14, 2000___
Date

Residence ___Kyoto, Japan___

Citizenship ___Japanese___

Post Office Address ___c/o SUNCALL CORPORATION___
___14, Umezunishiuracho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 615-8555, Japan___

Full name of second joint inventor, if any ____ Kazuhiro UZUMAKI

Inventor's signature _____ November 14, 2000
                                                                     Date
Residence ___ Kyoto, Japan

Citizenship ___ Japanese

Post Office Address ___ c/o SUNCALL CORPORATION

                    14, Umezunishiuracho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 615-8555, Japan

Full name of third joint inventor, if any _____ Yuji ISONO

Inventor's signature _____ november 22, 2000
                                                                     Date
Residence ___ Kyoto, Japan

Citizenship ___ Japanese

Post Office Address ___ c/o SUNCALL CORPORATION

                    14, Umezunishiuracho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 615-8555, Japan

Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any ____ Keiichiro TERATOKO

Inventor's signature _____ november 14, 2000
                                                                     Date
Residence ___ Kyoto, Japan

Citizenship ___ Japanese

Post Office Address ___ c/o SUNCALL CORPORATION

                    14, Umezunishiuracho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 615-8555, Japan

Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any _____ Yoshiro YAMADA

Inventor's signature _____ November 14, 2000
                                                                     Date
Residence ___ Kyoto, Japan

Citizenship ___ Japanese

Post Office Address ___ c/o SUNCALL CORPORATION

                    14, Umezunishiuracho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 615-8555, Japan

Full name of sixth joint inventor, if any _____ Hiroshi SUZUKI

Inventor's signature _____ _____
                                                                     Date
Residence ___ Kyoto, Japan

Citizenship ___ Japanese

Post Office Address ___ c/o SUNCALL CORPORATION

                    14, Umezunishiuracho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 615-8555, Japan

Full name of seventh joint inventor, if any ____ Hironobu SASADA

Inventor's signature _____ november 14, 2000
                                                                     Date
Residence ___ Kyoto, Japan

Citizenship ___ Japanese

Post Office Address ___ c/o SUNCALL CORPORATION

                    14, Umezunishiuracho, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto-shi, Kyoto 615-8555, Japan

Full name of eighth joint inventor, if any _____

Inventor's signature _____ _____
                                                                     Date
Residence _____

Citizenship _____

Post Office Address _____